# Understanding Your Legal Accountabilities: A Guide for Ontario Hospitals





# **Table of Contents**

#### **PART 1: DIRECTOR LIABILITY**

Α.	Summary of Director Liability in the Hospital Context	4
Β.	Other Sources of Liability	5
C.	Mitigating Risks – Approaches to Avoiding Liability Arising Under Statute	5
D.	General Checklist	6
Ε.	Updates to the Fourth Edition (2022)	6

## PART 2: PERIODIC REPORTING COMPLIANCE FOR THE 2022 REPORTING YEAR

A.	Overview	41
В.	General Checklist: Updates to the Fourth Edition (2022)	41
	Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act, 2005	42
	Broader Public Sector Accountability Act, 2010	46
	Broader Public Sector Executive Compensation Act, 2014	53
	Connecting Care Act, 2019, S.O. 2019, c. 5, Sched. 1/Local Health System Integration Act, 2006	54
	Controlled Drugs and Substances Act [Federal]	55
	Electricity Act, 1998	56
	Excellent Care for All Act, 2010	57
	Fire Protection and Prevention Act, 1997	60
	Food and Drugs Act (RSC, 1985, c. F-27) [Federal]	61
	Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act	63
	Occupational Health and Safety Act	64
	Protecting a Sustainable Public Sector for Future Generations Act, 2019, S.O. 2019, c.12	65
	Public Hospitals Act, R.R.O. 1990, Regulation 965	65
	Public Sector Salary Disclosure Act, 1996	67
	Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991	68
	Workplace Safety and Insurance Act, 1997	68

# Acknowledgements

The Ontario Hospital Association (OHA) gratefully acknowledges the contributions of its member hospitals, including participants of the Hospital Compliance Corner Working Group, who provided valuable feedback in the development of previous edition this resource.

The OHA would also like to thank Heather Pessione, Maddie Axelrod and Borden Ladner Gervais LLP for their diligent review of this resource.

# Disclaimer

This toolkit was prepared as a general guide to assist hospitals and health care providers in understanding areas in which they have legal accountability to various stakeholders. It does not provide an in-depth analysis of applicable law, nor is it comprehensive of all legal requirements to which hospitals are subject. It is intended to provide an overview for hospitals to assist in deciding when they need to seek advice or additional information. Organizations should not rely solely on the summary information contained in this toolkit in deciding whether to take or refrain from taking action.

The material in this toolkit is for general information only and may need to be adapted by hospitals and health care providers to accommodate their unique circumstances. This document reflects the interpretations and recommendations regarded as valid at the time of publication based on available information. It is not intended as, nor should it be construed as, legal or professional advice or opinion. Hospitals and individuals concerned about the applicability of the materials are advised to seek legal or professional counsel.

The OHA and Borden Ladner Gervais LLP will not be held responsible or liable, jointly or severally, for any harm, damage, or other losses resulting from reliance on, or the use or misuse of the general information contained in this toolkit.

# Introduction

Public hospitals in Ontario are operating in an increasingly complex and highly regulated environment. Over the years, they have become subject to a growing number of legal requirements, through changes at the health system level, and through evolving policy and regulatory developments. In this environment, hospitals are required to meet their obligations as not-for-profit corporations, including addressing governance, compliance and business operations issues. In addition, as employers, and given their mandate in the provision of health care, hospitals are also required to comply with various statutes on matters ranging from employment standards to health information privacy.

This toolkit was developed by the OHA, in consultation with Borden Ladner Gervais LLP, to help hospitals understand various legal accountabilities. It comprises two parts:

**Part 1** presents an overview of some key statutory obligations imposed on directors of Ontario public hospitals. This part is intended to help hospitals understand the legal accountability of directors, in order to assist in deciding when they need to seek legal advice. A general checklist is provided to help hospitals ensure that they have developed appropriate risk management processes and policies.

**Part 2** gives a summary of major legislation and regulations with periodic reporting requirements (annual or otherwise) affecting the hospital sector. This part is intended to help hospitals understand their accountability towards internal and external stakeholders, including the public and the government. A general checklist is provided to help hospitals manage and meet key mandated deadlines. Note that this checklist only includes statutory reporting requirements. Hospitals should be aware of any additional reporting requirements which may exist, including those established under contract.

Note that both parts of the toolkit are intended to serve as general guidance only, and do <u>not</u> represent an exhaustive summary of all legislation with which hospitals have to comply. The toolkit should be used in conjunction with other OHA resources, in particular the *Guide to Good Governance*, Third Edition<sup>1</sup> which elaborates on the role of the board of directors of an Ontario public hospital.

Hospitals are encouraged to share this resource with their board of directors and with other appropriate individuals within their organizations.

<sup>1</sup> Governance Centre of Excellence, *Guide to Good Governance*, Third Edition, available for purchase at: https://www.oha.com/learning/governance

# PART 1: DIRECTOR LIABILITY

# A. Summary of Director Liability in the Hospital Context

Hospitals are non-share capital corporations, redirecting any profits they make into achieving the corporation's objectives, as set out in their governing Articles of Incorporation, Articles of Amendment, and other corporate documents. The operations of a hospital are governed by a board of directors, who have a fiduciary obligation to the hospital corporation. This obligation requires directors to act in the best interests of the hospital in carrying out their duties.

A statutory duty and standard of care applies to directors of Ontario public hospitals under the *Not-for-Profit Corporations Act*, 2010. The statutory duty codifies the duties referenced in Section B below to act honestly and in good faith with a view to the best interests of the corporation, and with the standard of the care, diligence and skill that a reasonably prudent person would exercise in comparable circumstances.

As corporations are distinct legal entities with a separate legal existence from their directors, directors are not generally personally liable for the acts and omissions or contractual obligations of the corporations they serve. There are exceptions to this general rule under both the common law (case law) and under federal and provincial legislation. Statutes that impose obligations on directors may also establish offences and penalties that apply where those obligations are breached, which can include fines and imprisonment.

If a director breaches their fiduciary duty to the corporation, fails to meet an acceptable standard of care in carrying out their duties, or acts outside the scope of their authority (as defined by the Articles of Incorporation and other corporate documents), he/she may be held personally liable for their acts and omissions. For most offences created under legislation, directors have a "due diligence defence", even if it is not expressly included in the legislation. Where a director can demonstrate that he/ she met the standard of care and took reasonable steps to prevent the offence, he or she can be relieved of liability. Good governance practices are an essential element in a successful due diligence defence. Directors will not be held liable for errors in judgment if their decision was informed and they engaged in a reasonable decision-making process. Unless there is reason to suspect the contrary, directors are entitled to assume that senior managers of the corporation are acting honestly.

Examples of areas where liability may be imposed on directors include: legislation imposing reporting or filing obligations or relating to employees; the protection of personal information; taxation; and the environment, among other areas.

All directors, including *ex-officio* directors,<sup>2</sup> are subject to the same obligations and owe the same duties to the corporation, regardless of whether or not they were appointed or elected to the hospital's board of directors.

<sup>2</sup> Ex-officio directors are directors by virtue of their office.

# B. Other Sources of Liability

In addition to liability that may be imposed under legislation, there are a number of other sources of director liability, including:

- Fiduciary Duty Directors who breach their fiduciary duties to the corporation by, for example, appropriating a corporate opportunity, may be held liable for losses suffered by the corporation that are directly attributable to the director's actions.
- 2) **Standard of Care** A director is expected to exercise the care, diligence and skill in exercising his/ her duties that a reasonably prudent person would exercise in comparable circumstances, and may be held liable where he/she has failed to meet that standard of care.
- 3) Civil Liability (Contracts) Directors are not usually personally responsible for contracts that they have the authority to execute on behalf of the corporation, but can be held personally liable for contracts where, for example, the corporation purporting to enter into the contract does not exist or where the director, as a corporate representative, misrepresents information.
- 4) Civil Liability (Tort) A tort is an act or omission that causes harm where the person responsible had a duty of care and fails to discharge it appropriately. A director may incur personal liability for a tort where the director's negligence contributed to action by the corporation that resulted in damage or harm, for example by failing to ensure proper hiring processes for employees working with children, or failing to address unsafe working conditions (including complaints of harassment).

# C. Mitigating Risks – Approaches to Avoiding Liability Arising Under Statute

There is no simple formula that directors can follow to protect themselves against liability. A court will analyze the facts surrounding a director's decision to take a certain action or not to act, so any advice that is appropriate in one set of circumstances or for one director may not be applicable in other circumstances or in regard to another director.

As a general approach however, directors can protect themselves against being found personally liable for the acts or omissions of the hospital through means that include exercising due diligence, establishing good governance systems that facilitate corporate compliance with legislative requirements, insurance, and indemnification. In regard to the latter, provincial legislation requires and permits non-share capital corporations to indemnify their directors, subject to prescribed requirements.<sup>3</sup> By indemnifying its directors, the corporation agrees to assume responsibility for many of the expenses and liabilities that directors may incur in the course of their duties.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>3</sup> Subsection 46(3) of the Ontario *Not-for-Profit Corporations Act, 2010* (ONCA), which entered into force on October 19, 2021, states that indemnity may only occur where (i) the individual acted honestly and in good faith with a view to the best interests of the corporation or other entity, as the case may be; and (ii) if the matter is a criminal or administrative proceeding that is enforced by a monetary penalty, the individual had reasonable grounds for believing that his or her conduct was lawful. For further details on the ONCA, see section 3 of the chart below.

<sup>4</sup> Subsection 46(6) of the Ontario *Not-for-Profit Corporations Act, 2010* (ONCA), states that a corporation may purchase and maintain insurance for the benefit of the individual referred to in subsection (1) against any liability incurred by the individual, (a) in the individual's capacity as a director or officer of the corporation; or (b) in the individual's capacity as a director or officer, or a similar capacity, of another entity, if the individual acts or acted in that capacity at the corporation's request. Subsection 46(7) sets out the exception for charitable corporations.

## **D.** General Checklist

Accountability in this context requires directors to be aware of various legal obligations, as arising from statute. The checklist in this section is intended to identify some of the key legislative provisions under which personal liability can be imposed on directors. **Please note that this is intended to serve as general guidance only. It does not provide an exhaustive list of statutes, nor an exhaustive summary of all the relevant provisions.** 

There is commentary in the checklist about activities that directors can take which may reduce the risk of being held personally liable for an act or omission of the hospital. In the interest of clarity, it should be noted that engaging in the risk management activities described in the commentary will not guarantee that a director will not be held personally liable, but may strengthen the argument that this is the appropriate finding in the event of a claim.

Also for clarity, a notation in the checklist to the effect that "there is no statutory defence" means that there are no conditions expressly set out in the statute under which a director will not be held liable for acts or omissions constituting an offence laid out in the chart. There may be other defences (for example, at common law) available to directors.

# E. Updates to the Fourth Edition (2022)

The Fourth Edition (2022) incorporates changes to Part 1. These changes include removing sections on the *Corporations Act* and replacing them with new requirements found in the *Not-for-Profit Corporations Act*, 2010, which came into force on October 19, 2021.<sup>5</sup> The changes also include the addition of the Connecting Care Act, 2019 and the Protecting a Sustainable *Public Sector for Future Generations Act*, 2019.

Changes to Part 2 are outlined in detail in that section.

<sup>5</sup> For further details on the three-year transition period, visit the OHA's "Transitioning to and Governing Under the New Ontario *Not-for-Profit Corporations Act*, 2010."

#### **1. Broader Public Sector Accountability**

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
Broader Public Sector Accountability Act, 2010, S.O. 201	10, c. 25			
This Act establishes rules and standards of accountability for the use of public funds by broader public sector organizations, including hospitals. Every obligation of a hospital under this Act is deemed to be an obligation it is required to comply with under the terms of a Service Accountability Agreement (SAA), either under section 20 of the Local Health System Integration Act, 2006 (LHSIA) or under section 22 of the <i>Connecting Care Act</i> , 2019 (CCA), as applicable.	No specific offences are outlined for directors. No specific penalties are outlined under the Act. Hospitals are required to comply with their obligations under their SAA, as outlined under LHSIA or CCA.	There is no statutory defence.		
Reports on the use of consultants require hospital board approval (section 6); as do compliance-related attestations under the Act (section 15). See Part 2 for further information on obligations				
under this Act.				
Broader Public Sector Executive Compensation Act, 20	<b>14</b> , S.O. 2014, c. 13, Sched. 1			
<ul> <li>This Act provides for the establishment of compensation frameworks, by way of Regulations, for senior executives in designated broader public sector organizations, including hospitals.</li> <li>Every obligation of a designated employer under this Act is deemed to be an obligation that it is required to comply with under the terms of every agreement or other funding arrangement between the designated employer and the Government of Ontario or an agency of the Government of Ontario.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Under section 16 of the Act,</li> <li>(1) No person who is required under this Act to provide a report, statement or attestation shall: <ul> <li>a) willfully fail to provide a report, statement or attestation; or</li> <li>b) willfully make a false report, statement or attestation.</li> </ul> </li> <li>(2) No person shall willfully obstruct an</li> </ul>	There is no statutory defence.		
Compliance reports (including attestations) signed by the organization's highest-ranking officer may be required pursuant to ministerial direction (section 12). See Part 2 for further information on obligations	auditor appointed under section 13 in the performance of his or her audit. Every person who contravenes subsection (1) or (2) is guilty of an offence and on conviction is liable to a fine not			
See Part 2 for further information on obligations under this Act.				

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
Health Sector Payment Transparency Act, 2017 (NOT Y This Act received Royal Assent (formal legislative approval) on December 12, 2017, but has not yet	<b>ET IN FORCE)</b> Under section 16 of the Act, directors and officers of corporations to which the Act	Generally, it is NOT a defence to a charge under this Act		
<ul> <li>approval) on December 12, 2017, but has not yet been proclaimed into force.</li> <li>When proclaimed and with supporting regulations in force, this Act will require the reporting of information about financial relationships that exist within Ontario's health care system, including within health care research and education.</li> <li>Prescribed "recipients" of "transfers of value" (e.g., payments) will be required to report certain information regarding transactions to the Minister of Health and Long-Term Care (Minister) once regulations around reporting obligations are established.</li> <li>At the time of writing, no timelines have been established for the proclamation of this legislation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>officers of corporations to which the Act applies are required to ensure that the corporation complies with the Act and the regulations.</li> <li>This Act would also create specific offences for: <ul> <li>Hindering, obstructing or interfering with or attempting to obstruct, hindering or interfering with an inspector conducting an inspection or an audit;</li> <li>Destroying or altering applicable records;</li> <li>Failing to follow orders of an inspector; and</li> <li>Providing an inspector with false information on matters relevant to an inspection.</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the Minister or an inspector has grounds to believe that a person has failed to comply with this Act or the regulations, the Minister or the inspector may serve on the person a compliance order requiring the person to do anything, or refrain from doing anything, in order to comply with this Act and the regulations.</li> <li>Penalties range from fines up to \$10,000 per day (for individuals) or \$50,000 per day (for corporations) on the first offence; to \$25,000 per day (for corporations) for second and subsequent offences.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>to a charge under this Act that,</li> <li>a) the person took all reasonable steps to prevent the contravention; or</li> <li>b) at the time of the contravention, the person had an honest and reasonable belief in a mistaken set of facts which, if true, would have resulted in there not being any contravention.</li> <li>However, a defence IS available to a charge that a payor, intermediary or affiliate reported false or misleading information if:</li> <li>a) the person took all reasonable steps to prevent the contravention; or</li> <li>b) at the time of the contravention, the person had an honest and reasonable belief in a mistaken set of facts that, if true, would have rendered the contravention innocent</li> </ul>		

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date			
Protecting a Sustainable Public Sector for Future Gene	Protecting a Sustainable Public Sector for Future Generations Act, 2019, S.O. 2019, c.12						
The legislation sets out requirements during three- year moderation periods, which generally restrict compensation increases to 1% per year, subject to certain exceptions, applying to bargaining and non-bargaining employees, managers and leaders (except those who are designated executives within the meaning of the <i>Broader Public Sector Executive</i> <i>Compensation Act, 2014</i> ) across Ontario's public sector, including hospitals. The Management Board of Cabinet may issue directives to employers and to employers' organizations requiring they provide information relating to collective bargaining or compensation to ensure compliance with the Act.	No specific offences are outlined for directors. The Act allows the Attorney General to bring an application or commence proceedings to require any person to comply with the Act, including related regulations and directives. If an employer or an employer's organization fails to comply with a directive issued under the Act, the Management Board of Cabinet may withhold part or all of the amount to be paid to the employer or employer's organization.	There is no statutory defence.					

### 2. Charitable Institutions

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date				
Charities Accounting Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.10	Charities Accounting Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.10							
The Act establishes reporting and other obligations of trustees (which include corporations incorporated for a charitable purpose) with respect to property acquired by the trustee. The Act also sets out a "complaints and investigation" process, whereby individuals can take concerns about the use or disposition of property acquired by a trustee or the "manner in which a person or organization has solicited or procured funds by way of contribution or gift from the public" to the Public Guardian and Trustee. Rules were introduced under Regulation 4/01 of this Act effective April 1, 2018. These regulatory amendments introduced changes to the rules on payments to Directors of Ontario charitable corporations. They allowed for more flexibility in processes to pay a Director (or a Connected Person, as defined in the Regulation) for goods, services or facilities provided to the charity. The Board should be aware of these rules around authorizing payments to Directors of charities.	Where a trustee is in default, fails to comply with an order made under the Act or engages in misconduct, including the misuse of property or the improper investment of funds, the Public Guardian and Trustee can apply to the court for relief that includes a fine or imprisonment not exceeding 12 months.	There is no statutory defence but under the regulations made under the Act, directors may be indemnified in certain circumstances from and against damages arising out of their duties as directors of a trustee. However, directors and officers cannot be indemnified for liability that relates to their failure to act honestly and in good faith in performing their duties.						

#### 3. Corporate Law

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
<i>Not-for-Profit Corporations Act</i> , 2010, S.O. 2010, c. 15 <sup>6</sup>				
The Act replaces the <i>Corporations Act</i> as the statutory regime governing the affairs and activities of Ontario corporations without share capital. As such, the Act affects all existing not-for-profit corporations in Ontario, including hospitals. A statutory duty and standard of care applies to directors of Ontario public hospitals as prescribed by subsection 43(1) of the Act. The statutory duty, previously codified in the <i>Corporations Act</i> , requires that directors act honestly and in good faith with a view to the best interests of the corporation, and with the standard of the care, diligence and skill that a reasonably prudent person would exercise in comparable circumstances. Directors must now consent to act as Directors in writing. If they do not consent before or within 10 days of their election/appointment, they are deemed not to be a Director. A Director who is present a meeting of the directors or of a committee of directors, is deemed to have consented to any resolution or action taken at the meeting, unless the Director's dissent is noted as set out in section 45. Detailed legislative requirements are established for various corporate and governance issues, including matters that require member approval; borrowing powers; conflict of interest rules; and corporate record-keeping requirements. <b>Record Keeping Requirements with Respect to Ownership Interests in Land</b> Hospitals incorporated under the Act must comply with record-keeping requirements with respect to ownership interests in land, as set out in section 92.1.	The Act imposes similar obligations on hospital directors as <u>was previously required by</u> the <i>Corporations Act</i> . Under section 40 of the Act, directors are jointly and severally liable to employees for up to 6 months of wages and up to 12 months of vacation pay, if the corporation has been sued for the debt and the debt has not been satisfied or the corporation is bankrupt or insolvent and the claim for the debt has been proven. Section 41 of the Act requires that directors disclose their interest in a <u>material</u> contract or <u>request to</u> <u>have entered into the minutes of meetings of the</u> <u>directors the nature and extent of their interest</u> . Failing to disclose is an offence under the Act, resulting in liability being imposed on the director for any profit realized from the contract <u>upon a</u> <u>court application</u> . Under section 193(2), a director who makes, or assists in making, a false or misleading statement in a document required under the Act to be filed with the Director (as appointed by the Minister) or given to any other person, is guilty of an offence and liable to a fine of not more than \$5,000 or to imprisonment for a term of not more than six months, or to both. A general offence provision under section 193(1) of the Act states that it is an offence for a director to breach the Act or its regulations, and except where the Act specifies otherwise, the liability on conviction is for a fine of not more than \$5,000 or to imprisonment for a term of not more than six months, or to both.	The Act provides a due diligence defence in section 193(5) to the commission of any general offence and offences related to false or misleading statements in documents/use of information. The reasonable diligence defence for breach of duty/ standard of care remains under section 44.		

<sup>6</sup> For further details on ONCA, visit the OHA's "Transitioning to and Governing Under the New Ontario Not-for-Profit Corporations Act, 2010."

4.	Crimin	al Law

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
<u>Criminal Code</u> , R.S.C., 1985, c. C-46 [federal]				
The Code defines the types of conduct that constitutes criminal offences under federal law, and establishes the kind and degree of punishment that may be imposed when an individual and/or organization is convicted of an offence. Directors may be held liable if they directed the corporation to commit a crime, did not take reasonable steps to	Like other persons, a director may be found criminally liable under section 21 of the <i>Criminal Code</i> , if he or she commits, or aids or abets a person to commit an offence, or under section 23 of the <i>Criminal Code</i> , if he or she "knowing that a person has been a party to the offence", receives, comforts or assists that person for the purpose of enabling that person to escape. Under section 22.1, where a director who: "… is responsible for the aspect of the organization's activities	There is no statutory defence.		
prevent employees of the corporation from committing a crime, or otherwise participated in the commission of a crime. Specific obligations are also set out in relation to workplace safety issues.	that is relevant to the offence departs — or the senior officers, collectively, depart — markedly from the standard of care that, in the circumstances, could reasonably be expected to prevent a representative of the organization from being a party to the offence", the director may be found criminally liable for the offence. The crime under section 22.1 is one of negligence.			
	Section 22.2 establishes criminal liability for offences that do not require negligence; and where senior officers of the organization: act within their scope of authority and are a party to an offence; direct the work of other representatives who commit an offence; or do not take reasonable measures to stop a representative of the organization from being a party to an offence.			
	Specific obligations related to workplace safety issues are also set out under section 217.1, which requires that everyone who undertakes, or has the authority, to direct how another person works or performs a task discharge their duty to take reasonable steps to prevent bodily harm to that person, or any other person, arising from that work or task. <b>See Part 2 for further obligations</b> <b>related to workplace safety issues.</b>			

#### 5. Employment Standards

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date			
<i>mployment Standards Act, 2000,</i> S.O. 2000, c. 41							
The Act provides the minimum working standards for most employees working in hospitals, and sets out certain rights and responsibilities of employees and employers.	Given the corporation's not-for-profit status, directors of public hospitals are exempt (under subsection 80(2) of the Act) from the provisions of sections 81 through 83 in Part 20 of the Act. These provisions would otherwise hold directors personally liable for unpaid wages, including overtime, vacation and holiday pay (the exemption is from statutory liability and does not affect any civil remedy obtained in an action against a director). There are other obligations under the Act (for example, the keeping of the records set out in section 15 of the Act, the payment of at least minimum wage required by section 23 of the Act, and equal pay required by section 42 of the Act), which if contravened, could result in personal liability for directors. Under section 137, where a corporation contravenes any provision of the Act or the regulations, an officer, director or agent of the corporation or a person purporting to act in any such capacity, who authorizes, permits or acquiesces in the contravention is a party to and guilty of the offence and is liable on conviction to the penalties provided for the particular offence (which may be, for example, a fine of not more than \$50,000 or to imprisonment for up to 12 months or both), whether or not the corporation has been prosecuted or convicted.	There is no statutory defence. Further, in accordance with to subsection 137(3) of the Act, the burden of proof is on the director or officer to provide that he or she did not authorize, permit or acquiesce in the contravention of the Act. Among other measures, directors may want to require the responsible managers to report on a regular basis on the corporation's compliance with the Act.					

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
Hospital Labour Disputes Arbitration Act, R.S.O	. 1990, c. H.14			
Among other things, this Act prohibits strikes and lock-outs where collective bargaining involves employees (not including physicians) of certain organizations in the healthcare sector (e.g., hospitals and long-term care homes); if the parties are unable to reach a negotiated settlement, their bargaining impasse is resolved by binding arbitration.	Pursuant to section 14, the same offence provisions apply as under the <i>Labour Relations</i> <i>Act, 1995</i> (see below).	There is no statutory defence.		
Labour Relations Act, 1995, S.O. 1995, c. 1, Sche	d. A			
The Act governs circumstances where a trade union is the bargaining agent for employees and the employees' terms of employment are governed by a collective agreement. It also prohibits, among other things, an employer's interference with a trade union or attempts to join a trade union.	The penalty for contravening the Act or a decision, determination, interim order, order, direction, declaration or ruling made under the Act is a fine of not more than \$2,000 for individuals and \$25,000 for corporations. Each day that a person contravenes the Act, or any decision as set out above, constitutes a separate offence. Moreover, under section 106 of the Act, if a corporation is guilty of an offence, every officer and official of the corporation who assented to the commission of the offence is deemed to be a party to and guilty of the offence.	There is no statutory defence.		

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
Pay Equity Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.7				
The Act seeks to redress systemic gender discrimination in compensation for work performed by employees in traditionally female job classes. The Act requires, among other things, that designated employers undertake comparisons between male and female job classes in the establishment and use such comparisons to achieve and maintain pay equity.	The penalty for contravening certain provisions of the Act [subsection 9(2) or subsection 35(5)] or an order of the Hearings Tribunal is a fine of not more than \$5,000 for individuals and \$50,000 in any other case. Furthermore, if a corporation contravenes or fails to comply with the above subsections or an order of the Hearings Tribunal, every officer, official or agent of the corporation who authorizes, permits or acquiesces in the contravention is a party to and guilty of the offence and, on conviction, is liable to the penalty provided whether or not the corporation has been prosecuted or convicted.	There is no statutory defence.		

## 6. Environmental and Safety Standards

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
Environmental Protection Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. E.	19			
The Act authorizes action designed to protect and conserve the natural environment, including providing directors appointed by the Minister of the Environment with order-making powers. The Act establishes a general prohibition that "No person shall discharge into the natural environment any contaminant, and no person responsible for a source of contaminant shall permit the discharge into the natural environment of any contaminant from the source of contaminant, in an amount, concentration or level in excess of that prescribed by the regulations." A number of specific offences are also outlined in the Act.	<ul> <li>Under section 194 of the Act, every director or officer of a corporation has a duty to take all reasonable care to prevent the corporation from a number of actions. A director or officer who fails to carry out his or her duty under section 194 is guilty of an offence and is liable to conviction whether or not the corporation has been prosecuted or convicted.</li> <li>The penalty on conviction for a contravention of section 194 of the Act is: <ul> <li>a) for each day or part of a day on which the offence occurs or continues, to a fine of, not less than \$5,000 and not more than \$4,000,000 on a first conviction, not less than \$20,000 and not more than \$6,000,000 on a second conviction, and not less than \$20,000 and not more than \$6,000,000 on each subsequent conviction;</li> <li>b) to imprisonment for a term of not more than five years less one day; or</li> <li>c) to both such fine and imprisonment.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	There is no statutory defence and there is a reverse onus applied: "if a director or officer of a corporation is charged with an offence [] in connection with a specific contravention of the corporation, the director or officer has the onus, in the trial of the offence, of proving that he or she carried out the duty [] in connection with that contravention".		

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
Human Pathogens and Toxins Act (S.C. 2009, c. 2 This Act is designed to protect the health and safety of the public against risks posed by the accidental or deliberate release of human pathogens and toxins from research or other facilities. A companion standard, the Canadian Biosafety Standard sets out the physical containment, operational practice, and performance and verification testing requirements to ensure the safe handling and storing of human and terrestrial animal pathogens and toxin. "Controlled activities" are established by the Act. Risk groups (for classification purposes) are also created under the Act. There is an obligation to inform the federal Minister of Health if a licence holder (such		Commentary Under section 59, due diligence defences may apply to particular sub- sections of the Act only.		
Minister of Health if a licence holder (such as a hospital and/or any of its research laboratories) under the Act inadvertently				
releases or produces human pathogens or toxins, contrary to the Act; or if a licence holder has reason to believe that a human pathogen or toxin that was in their possession has been stolen or is otherwise missing.				

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
Transportation of Dangerous Goods Act, 1992 (	1992, c. 34) [federal]			
This Act regulates the transportation of dangerous goods (including infectious substances), and may apply to vendors and contractors transporting dangerous goods to and from a hospital. "Dangerous goods" are defined by classes included in the Act and its regulations. Comprehensive requirements apply to how dangerous goods may be "handled" – i.e. loading, unloading, packing or unpacking dangerous goods in a means of containment for the purposes of, in the course of or following transportation, which also includes storing them in the course of transportation. This Act should be reviewed alongside the companion provincial statute, <i>Dangerous</i> <i>Goods Transportation Act</i> , R.S.O. 1990, c. D.1	<ul> <li>Offences apply to contravention of the Act, directions issued under the Act, regulations, security measures and interim orders.</li> <li>Every person who commits an offence: <ul> <li>a) is liable on indictment to imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years; or</li> <li>b) is liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding \$50,000 for a first offence, and not exceeding \$100,000 for each subsequent offence.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Particular provisions apply to directors under section 39 of the Act: <ul> <li>"If an organization commits an offence, a representative who plays an important role in the establishment of the organization's policies or is responsible for managing an important aspect of the organization, an officer, director, agent or mandatary — who directed, authorized, assented to, acquiesced in or participated in the commission of the offence is a party to and guilty of the offence and is liable on conviction to the punishment provided for the offence, whether or not the organization has been prosecuted for the offence."</li> </ul></li></ul>	Pursuant to section 40, due diligence defences may apply if it is established that the person took all reasonable measures to comply with this Act or to prevent the commission of the offence.		

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
Waste-Free Ontario Act, S.O. 2016 C.12				
This Act enacts the Resource Recovery and Circular Economy Act, 2016 (RRCEA) and the Waste Diversion Transition Act, 2016 (WDTA). It is intended to enable a shift to a circular economy, which would increase resource recovery and waste reduction in Ontario. Section 98(6) of the RRCEA stipulates that if a corporation commits an offence under this section, a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation who directed, authorized, assented to, acquiesced in or failed to take all reasonable care to prevent the commission of the offence, or who participated in the commission of the offence, is also guilty of the offence, whether the corporation has been prosecuted for the offence or not The WDTA also has offence provisions for individuals and corporations (section 65), but the statute itself does not impose obligations on hospitals.	<ul> <li>Numerous offence provisions are outlined in section 98 of the RRCEA.</li> <li>An individual who is guilty of an offence under this section is liable, on conviction,</li> <li>a) in the case of a first conviction, to a fine of not more than \$50,000 for each day or part of a day on which the offence occurs or continues; and</li> <li>b) in the case of a subsequent conviction, to a fine of not more than \$100,000 for each day or part of a day on which the offence occurs or continues.</li> <li>A corporation that is guilty of an offence under this section is liable, on conviction,</li> <li>a) in the case of a first conviction, to a fine of not more than \$250,000 for each day or part of a day on which the offence occurs or continues.</li> <li>A corporation that is guilty of an offence under this section is liable, on conviction,</li> <li>a) in the case of a first conviction, to a fine of not more than \$250,000 for each day or part of a day on which the offence occurs or continues; and</li> <li>b) in the case of a subsequent conviction, to a fine of not more than \$500,000 for each day or part of a day on which the offence occurs or continues; and</li> <li>b) in the case of a subsequent conviction, to a fine of not more than \$500,000 for each day or part of a day on which the offence occurs or continues</li> <li>A Court also has the option to apply a penalty due to monetary benefit (i.e. increase a fine imposed on the person by an amount equal to the amount of the monetary benefit that was acquired by or that accrued to the person as a result of the commission of the offence).</li> </ul>	Under the RRCEA, a two year limitation period applies from the day on which the evidence of the offence first came to the attention of a provincial offences officer. There is no statutory defence.		

## 7. Health System and Health Professions Governance

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
<b>Connecting Care Act, 2019</b> , S.O. 2019, c. 5, Sched. 1 / <b>Local He</b>	alth System Integration Act, 2006, S.O. 2006,	c. 4		
<ul> <li>Previously, LHSIA provided the framework under which local health integration networks (LHINS) funded, organized and oversaw regional health care systems.</li> <li>The CCA modifies the framework under which health service providers are funded, organized and overseen with the introduction of a new provincial agency, Ontario Health. The CCA was proclaimed into force on June 6, 2019, and Ontario Health took over health system planning and funding functions in 2021.</li> <li>Under this framework, Hospital boards and board chairs have specific roles in the service accountability agreements negotiated under section 20 of LHSIA or section 22 of the CCA, as applicable.</li> <li>For the time being, provisions of LHSIA relating to the organization and funding of the LHINS (now Home Care and Community Care Support Services organizations) remain in force.</li> <li>See Part 2 for further information on obligations under these Acts.</li> </ul>	Under section 43.7 of the CCA, any officer, director, employee and agent of a corporation who commits an offence under the CCA, for which the corporation would be liable for prosecution, is guilty of an offence and on conviction is liable to the punishment provided for the offence, whether or not the corporation has also been prosecuted or convicted. Acts or things done or omitted to be done by these individuals in the course of their employment or in the exercise of their powers or in the performance of their duties are deemed to also be acts or things done or omitted to be done by the corporation. Subsection 43.8(1) of the CCA provides that any individual who contravenes the Act is liable for a fine of \$50,000 for a first offence, or to imprisonment for a term of not more than 12 months, or to both; and to a fine of not more than \$100,000 for a subsequent offence, or to imprisonment for a term of not more than 12 months, or to both. Any corporation who contravenes the Act is liable for a fine of y \$100,000 for the first offence; and up to \$100,000 for subsequent offences pursuant to subsection 43.8(2).	There is no statutory defence.		

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
<i>Excellent Care for All Act, 2010</i> , S.O. 2010, c. 14				·
This Act creates obligations for Ontario health care organizations (currently defined as public hospitals) with respect to quality improvement and performance review. This includes the establishment of quality committees, patient surveys, employer and service provider surveys and annual quality improvement plans, among other measures.	Every person who contravenes a provision of this Act or the regulations is guilty of an offence and on conviction is liable to a fine, a) not exceeding \$10,000, in the case of an individual; and	There is no statutory defence.		
The board of directors of a public hospital receives reports and recommendations from the hospital's quality committee (sections 1, 3 and 4). Offence provisions are outlined in section 14. These provisions are not specific to directors.	b) not exceeding \$25,000, in the case of a corporation.			
See Part 2 for further information on obligations under this Act.				
Healing Arts Radiation Protection Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. H.2		1		1
The Act prohibits anyone from installing x-ray equipment unless the Director (as defined in the Act) has issued written approval for the installation, and from operating x-ray equipment unless the operator has the qualifications set out in the Act and the equipment meets the prescribed standards.	<ul> <li>The penalties that may be imposed when a person:</li> <li>a) knowingly furnishes false information in an application under the Act or in any statement or return required to be furnished under the Act or the regulations; or</li> </ul>	There is no statutory defence and the prohibition and penalties are not specific to directors of hospitals.		
Note that on a date to be named by proclamation, this Act will be repealed and replaced by the Oversight of Health Facilities and Devices Act, 2017 (OHFDA). New licensing requirements will apply under this Act, together with enhanced enforcement powers (see Part VIII). At the time of writing, no date has yet been established for the coming into force of the OHFDA.	<ul> <li>b) fails to comply with any order, direction or other requirement made under the Act; or</li> <li>c) contravenes any provision of the Act or the regulations, are not unique to directors of hospitals.</li> <li>The penalty on conviction for a first offence is a fine of not more than \$25,000 or imprisonment for a term of not more than 12 months, or both and for subsequent offences, a fine of not more than \$50,000 or imprisonment for a term of not more than 12 months, or both.</li> </ul>			

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
Health Protection and Promotion Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. H.7		1	<u> </u>	
The Act outlines a number of requirements with respect to communicable diseases. For example, under subsection 27(1) of the Act, a hospital must report to the medical officer of health of the health unit in which the hospital is located, if the records of the hospital in respect of an inpatient or an outpatient of the hospital state that the patient has or may have a disease of public health significance or is or may be infected with an agent of a communicable disease.	Under subsection 100(2) of the Act, it is an offence to fail to report a disease of public health significance, a communicable disease or a reportable event following the administration of an immunizing agent. Under subsection 101(3) of the Act, where a corporation is convicted of an offence, "each director of the corporation who was in whole or in part responsible for the conduct of that part of the business of the corporation that gave rise to the offence, is guilty of an offence unless he or she satisfies the court that he or she took all reasonable care to prevent the commission of the offence". Under subsection 101(1) of the Act, a director "is liable on conviction to a fine of not more than to \$5,000 for every day or part of a day on which the offence occurs or continues."	Subsection 101(3) of the Act provides directors with a defence: directors will not be found liable where they can satisfy the court that they took all reasonable care to prevent the commission of the offence. In order to demonstrate that they took all reasonable care to prevent the commission of the offence, directors should be able to demonstrate that: • The hospital has policies in place regarding reporting under the Act; • All relevant staff members are made aware of the hospital's obligations under the Act; and • There are penalties for failing to comply with the hospital's policies in relation to the reporting requirements under the Act.		

Director's Obligations			Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
Laboratory & Specimen Coll This Act regulates licenced la laboratories must operate u Director of Laboratory and S Licensing. Licences are issued with special relation to one or more classic classes of specimens. Note that on July 1 Act will be amender changes, new provision of the special into effect on licence	aboratories in Ontario inder a licence issued Specimen Collection ( ecific conditions, inclu ses of tests; or one or , 2022, this ed. Among the isions will come cing requirements	o. All by the Centre uding in	<ul> <li>D. 1990, c. L.1</li> <li>Under section 22, any individual who contravenes the Act is liable for a fine of \$25,000 per day for the first offence; and \$50,000 per day for subsequent offences.</li> <li>Any corporation who contravenes the Act is liable for a fine of up to \$50,000 per day for the first offence; and up to \$200,000 per day for the first offence; and up to \$200,000 per day for subsequent offences.</li> <li>Where a corporation has been convicted of an offence <ul> <li>a) each director of the corporation; and</li> <li>b) each officer, servant or agent of the corporation who was in whole or in part responsible for the conduct</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Commentary Due diligence defences may apply, pursuant to section 22(3).		
and with respect to laboratories.	•		in part responsible for the conduct of that part of the business of the corporation that gave rise to the offence, is a party to and guilty of the offence, and on conviction is liable to the punishment provided for under section 22, unless he or she satisfies the court that he or she had no knowledge of any of the acts constituting the offence, and could not reasonably be expected to have had such knowledge and that reasonable diligence to prevent the commission of the offence was exercise.			

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
Nuclear Safety and Control Act (S.C. 1997, c. 9) [federal] This Act is intended to regulate risks associated with the development, production and use of nuclear energy and the production, possession and use of nuclear substance. Under this Act, nuclear medicine facilities (including hospitals) must be licenced and inspected, and annual compliance reports must be submitted to the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission. Records must be maintained in accordance with retention requirements under the Act.	Offences are outlined in section 48, and include failure to comply with any condition under a licence; discloses prescribed information, except pursuant to the regulation; and failing to comply with an order of the Commission, a designated officer or an inspector. Punishment ranges from \$ 5,000 to \$ 1,000,000, depending on the offence, and in some cases, include imprisonment terms. Administrative monetary penalties (AMPs) may also be applied. Under section 65.03, if a corporation commits a violation pursuant to section 44(1)(u.1), any director, officer, agent or mandatary of the corporation who directed, authorized, assented to, acquiesced in or participated in the commission of the violation is a party to the violation and is liable to an administrative monetary penalty of an amount to be determined in accordance with the regulations, whether or not the corporation has been proceeded against in accordance with this Act.	A defence of due diligence is available in most cases: A person shall not be found to have contravened any provision of this Act, other than section 50 [possession of certain nuclear substances], if it is established that the person exercised all due diligence to prevent its commission. Due diligence defences may not apply in the context of AMPs.		

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
<b>Public Hospitals Act</b> , R.S.O. 1990, c. P.40		1		
The Act establishes the primary regulatory framework within which Ontario public hospitals are established and operate. The Act imposes a number of requirements on public hospitals, including approval by the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care of certain decisions (e.g., acquisition/ disposition of real property and use of premises for hospital purposes), detailed mandatory corporate governance requirements (certain of which supersede the <i>Corporations</i> <i>Act/</i> ONCA), patient admission and record-keeping requirements, and provisions relating to the granting of hospital privileges. Draft articles of incorporation must now be submitted to the Minister of Health for pre-approval. The Ministry has released guidance materials on the approval process, which also address approval of acquisition/disposition of real property and use of premises for hospital purposes, and voluntary integrations under the CCA.	There are no provisions in the Act making directors personally liable for acts or omissions of the hospital, but directors could be held personally liable for damages where they act in bad faith (for example, where they engage in an abuse of process). Additionally, every person who contravenes or is a party to the contravention directly or indirectly of any provision of the Act or the regulations is guilty of an offence and on conviction is liable to a fine of not less than \$50 and not more than \$1,000.	Under section 13 of the Act, "No action or other proceeding for damages or otherwise shall be instituted against any member [] of a board [] for any act done in good faith in the execution or intended execution of any duty or authority under this Act or the regulations or for any alleged neglect or default in the execution in good faith of any such duty or authority."		
There are a number of board-specific obligations in the Act, including governing and managing the hospital, appointing, revoking and suspending hospital privileges, passing by-laws, establishing the fiscal advisory committee, and ensuring that the CEO establishes a system for disclosure of critical incidents.				
See Part 2 for further information on obligations under this Act.				

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
<b>Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991</b> , S.O. 1991, c. 18 This Act is "umbrella legislation" containing general provisions which govern all regulated health professionals	Offences are prescribed under section 40 in relation to various parts of the Act; and	Pursuant to section 42(3), certain offence		
<ul> <li>in Ontario, including those working in a hospital setting.</li> <li>There is companion legislation relating to each profession which details their scope of practice, controlled acts and issues relating to delegation.</li> <li>This Act provides a framework for regulating the scope of practice for regulated health professionals and outlines the manner in which regulatory colleges operate with regard to health care professionals.</li> </ul>	under section 93 of the <i>Health Professions</i> <i>Procedural Code</i> . For example, hospitals are required to report sexual abuse of patients; and where there is a failure to do so, fines of up to \$50,000 can be applied for individuals; and up to \$200,000 for corporations.	exceptions apply to public hospitals (as they relate to performance and restriction of controlled acts).		
The <i>Health Professions Procedural Code</i> , a Schedule to the Act, creates obligations for public hospitals with respect to matters such as reporting (e.g., revocation/resignation of hospital privileges, sexual assault).				
See Part 2 for further information on obligations under this Act.				

## 8. Privacy, Freedom of Information and Anti-spam

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
Canada's Anti-Spam Legislation (S.C. 2010, c. 2	23) (federal)			
This legislation generally prohibits the sending of commercial electronic messages without the recipient's consent (permission), including messages to email addresses and social networking accounts, and text messages sent to a cell phone. The Act sets out a number of obligations that require organizations to ensure recipients' consent for electronic messages, and that appropriate unsubscribe features are in place.	Complaints about violations are submitted to the Canadian Radio- television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC). If the company is in violation, the CRTC has a range of enforcement tools available. The CRTC will assess each case based on a series of factors, including the nature of the violation, the company's history with CASL, whether the company benefited financially from the violation, and the company's ability to pay a penalty. Directors may be liable for an offence under the Act if they directed, authorized, assented to, acquiesced in or participated in the commission of the offence, whether or not the corporation is proceeded against. Penalties for the most serious violations of CASL include a maximum penalty of up to \$1 million for individuals and \$10 million for businesses.	The Act provides a due diligence defence for directors.		

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date		
ersonal Health Information Protection Act, 2004, S.O. 2004, c. 3, Sched. A						
Hospitals are health information custodians	Under subsection 72(1) of the Act, it	There is no statutory defence,				
for the purposes of the Act.	is an offence to willfully collect, use,	although there is a good faith				
•		_				

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
<ul> <li>Director's Obligations</li> <li>Freedom of Information and Protection of Print</li> <li>This Act provides the public with a limited</li> <li>right to access information about hospitals,</li> <li>subject to certain restrictions. It also protects</li> <li>the privacy rights of individuals with respect</li> <li>to their personal information held by</li> <li>hospitals; and provides a right of access to</li> <li>such information.</li> <li>The Chair of the hospital's board of directors</li> <li>is the "Head" responsible for complying with</li> <li>the Act, including ensuring that reasonable</li> <li>measures respecting the records in the</li> <li>custody or under the control of the hospital</li> <li>are developed, documented and put in place</li> <li>to preserve the records in accordance with</li> <li>records retention requirements.</li> <li>See Part 2 for further information on</li> <li>obligations under this Act.</li> </ul>		Commentary There is no statutory defence.		
	<ul> <li>Willfully obstructing the Information and Privacy Commissioner in the performance of his or her functions under this Act; and</li> <li>Willfully failing to comply with an order of the Privacy Commissioner.</li> <li>Every person who contravenes the Act is liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding \$5,000.</li> </ul>			

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date			
Quality of Care Information Protection Act, 20	Quality of Care Information Protection Act, 2016, S.O. 2016, c. 6, Sched. 2						
The Act prohibits the disclosure of certain information that is collected or prepared by or for a quality of care committee for the sole or primary purpose of assisting the committee in carrying out its functions, or relates solely or primarily to any activity that a quality of care committee carries on as part of its functions. Section 9 of the Act prohibits the disclosure of quality of care information, with limited exceptions for quality of care (internal disclosure) and to prevent risk (internal or external disclosure). Section 9(3) allows for the disclosure of quality of care information to management if appropriate to do so for the purpose of maintaining or improving the quality of health care provided in or by the facility. It also permits disclosure if it is necessary for the purpose of eliminating or reducing a significant risk of serious bodily harm to a person or group of persons. Section 10 provides that no court or other body holding a proceeding shall permit or require a witness in the proceeding to disclose quality of care information.	Under subsection 12 of the Act, if a corporation commits an offence under the Act, every officer, member, employee or other agent of the corporation who authorized the offence, or who had the authority to prevent the offence from being committed but knowingly refrained from doing so, is a party to and guilty of the offence and is liable, on conviction, to the penalty for the offence, whether or not the corporation has been prosecuted or convicted. The penalty for contravening the Act is a fine of up to \$50,000 for individuals and up to \$250,000 for corporations.	There is no statutory defence. Directors should generally not be privy to the proceedings of any quality of care committee established by the hospital.					

## 9. Physical Facilities

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act, 2005, S.	O. 2005, c. 11			
The purpose of the Act is to develop, implement and enforce accessibility standards in order to achieve accessibility for Ontarians with disabilities with respect to goods, services, facilities, accommodation, employment, buildings, structures and premises. Hospitals are required to comply with various accessibility requirements. Hospitals are also required to file accessibility reports at the frequency required by the legislation. These reports must be available to the public and must be signed by a director, a senior officer or other responsible person with authority to bind the organization. <b>Further information on these requirements can be found in Part 2.</b>	Section 37 of the Act sets out a number of offences, including furnishing false or misleading information in an accessibility report, or failing to comply with an order under the Act. The penalty for persons found guilty of an offence is a fine of not more than \$50,000 for each day or part of a day on which the offence occurs or continues to occur; or for corporations, a fine of not more than \$100,000 for each day or part of a day on which the offence occurs or continues to occur.	There is no statutory defence. Directors may want to ensure that the board reviews and approves hospital policies, including policies on the provision of services to persons with disabilities and that there is a system for tracking and making same available on the request of staff or the public. Directors may want to review the accessibility report in addition to other mandatory reports to government.		

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date			
Building Code Act, 1992, S.O. 1992, c. 23							
The Act grants authorization to various entities to set rules regarding construction, demolition, property standards and related matters, and creates a system for enforcing such rules.	Under section 36 of the Act, every director or officer of a corporation who knowingly concurs in the furnishing of false information, the failure to comply with an order, direction or other requirement made under the Act, or the contravention of the Act, the regulations, a by-law or a condition is guilty of an offence. A person who is convicted of an offence is liable to a fine of not more than \$50,000 for a first offence and to a fine of not more than \$100,000 for a subsequent offence. For corporations, the fines are up to \$500,000 and \$1,500,000 respectively. Further, if a person fails to comply with an order made by a chief building official, in addition to the fine mentioned above, the person is liable on conviction to a fine of not more than \$10,000 per day for every day the offence continues after the time given for complying with the order has expired.	There is no statutory defence. There is a one-year limitation period on commencing a proceeding under section 36 of the Act (from the time that the subject-matter of the proceeding arises).					

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
<b>Construction Act</b> , R.S.O. 1990, c. C. 30				
This Act has subsumed the previous <i>Construction Lien</i> <i>Act</i> . It responds to the financial risks inherent in the construction industry, by granting special protections to contractors and suppliers of work, services and supplies to real property. The Act sets out the rules as to who has a lien or trust (security against the property) and the process by which lien claimants can enforce their various rights.	Subsection 13(1) provides that "every director or officer of a corporation who assents to, or acquiesces in, conduct that he or she knows or reasonably ought to know amounts to breach of trust by the corporation is liable for the breach of trust".	There is no statutory defence.		
Fire Protection and Prevention Act, 1997, S.O. 1997, c. 4				
The Act establishes a framework for public protection around fire safety. The Ontario <i>Fire Code</i> is a regulation under this Act, and outlines the minimum requirements of fire safety in buildings. See Part 2 for further information on obligations under this Act.	Under subsection 28(5), a director or officer of a corporation who knows that the corporation is violating or has violated a provision of the fire code is guilty of an offence and on conviction, is liable to a fine of not more than \$50,000 for a first offence and not more than \$100,000 for a subsequent offence or to imprisonment for a term of not more than one year, or to both. Under subsection 28(6), every director or officer of a corporation who knowingly commits an offence under subsection 28(1), which includes the refusal or neglect to obey or carry out the instructions of the Fire Marshall, is guilty of an offence and on conviction, is liable to a fine of not more than \$50,000 for a first offence and not more than \$100,000 for a subsequent offence or to imprisonment for a term of not more than one year, or to both.	There is no statutory defence. As with occupational health and safety issues, directors should ensure that there is a clear chain of responsibility for issues relating to fire protection and prevention, and that those responsible report to the board from time to time on the corporation's compliance with the Act. Directors could be found liable for not ensuring that action is taken to correct a violation.		

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
<b>Smoke-Free Ontario Act, 2017</b> , S.O. 2017, c. 26, Sched. 3	1	1	1	1
The Act prohibits smoking, vaping or holding lighted tobacco or cannabis in any enclosed public space or workplace and imposes a duty on employers and proprietors to enforce compliance with the Act Hospital policies should also be implemented to comply with related obligations under the <i>Fixing Long-Term</i> <i>Care Act, 2021</i> (see sections 75, 185).	The penalty upon conviction is a fine, and the Act establishes a detailed regime for determining the amount of the fine. This may depend on the number of previous infractions of the Act of which the corporation has been convicted. Penalties may range from \$1,000 to \$600,000.	There is no statutory defence available. Directors should ensure that appropriate policies are in place around smoking.		
Hospitals and psychiatric facilities must be completely smoke-free pursuant to section 6 of O. Reg. 268/18, subject to limited exceptions under the legislation. There are exceptions for traditional use of tobacco by Aboriginal persons.				
#### 10. Occupational Health and Safety

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
Occupational Health and Safety Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. O.1				
The Act sets out certain health- and safety-related rights and duties of various parties in the workplace, as well as the procedures for dealing with workplace hazards and compliance and enforcement measures. Every director of a corporation is required to ensure compliance with: a) The Act and its regulations b) Orders and requirements of inspectors; and c) Orders of the Minister Employers are required to abide by a number of duties, such as: providing safety-related equipment, materials and personal protective devices; ensuring a structurally-safe working environment; and providing information and training workers on safety issues or workplace hazards. The general duty is to take every precaution reasonable in the circumstances for the protection of a worker. Employers are also required to prepare and enforce policies and programs on workplace violence and workplace harassment, including sexual harassment, which must include procedures for investigating complaints. See Part 2 for further information on obligations under this Act.	Under section 66, individuals convicted of an offence may be liable to a fine of not more than \$100,000 or to imprisonment for a term of not more than twelve months, or to both; and corporations convicted of an offence may be fined up to \$1,500,000.	<ul> <li>There is no statutory defence.</li> <li>Directors should ensure that there are in place, among other measures:</li> <li>Policies and procedures on workplace health and safety;</li> <li>Systems or protocols for managing adverse events; and</li> <li>A clear chain of responsibility for workplace health and safety.</li> <li>Directors should ensure that workplace health and safety issues are brought to the board's attention and addressed expeditiously.</li> </ul>		

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date				
<i>Vorkplace Safety and Insurance Act, 1997,</i> S.O. 1997, c. 16, Sched. A								
The Act governs the administration of the provincial workplace compensation insurance regime, including fees and levies for employers, and claims administration for injured workers. The Act sets out a number of requirements, including registration, fees and levies, accident reporting and claims administration and return to work/ accommodation issues. See Part 2 for further information on obligations under this Act.	Sections 149 through 158 of the Act set out various offences and penalties. Under section 149 of the Act, a person who knowingly makes a false or misleading statement or representation to the Workplace Safety and Insurance Board (WSIB) in connection with a claim for benefits under the insurance plan is guilty of an offence. An employer who willfully fails to inform the WSIB of a material change in circumstances (in connection with a relevant obligation under the Act) within 10 days after the change occurs is guilty of an offence. Under section 157, if a corporation commits an offence under the Act, every director who "knowingly authorized, permitted or acquiesced in the commission of the offence is guilty of an offence, whether or not the corporation has been prosecuted or convicted." A director who is convicted of an offence is liable to a fine not exceeding \$25,000 or to imprisonment not exceeding six months or to both; and corporations convicted of an offence may be fined up to \$500,000.	There is no statutory defence. Prosecution of an offence under the Act must be commenced within two years of the date on which the most recent act or omission upon which the prosecution is based comes to the knowledge of the WSIB.						

### **11.** Taxation, Pension Contributions and Insurance

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
Canada Pension Plan, R.S.C	., 1985, c. C-8 [federal]			
The Canada Pension	Every employer that fails to remit these amounts, at the time when	Certain procedural		
Plan (CPP) provides	it is required to do so, is liable to pay the whole amount that should	requirements (initiated		
contributors and their	have been deducted and remitted from the time that it should have	through the Federal Court)		
families with partial	been deducted, as well as an additional penalty of up to 20 percent,	must be met for a director		
replacement of earnings	depending on the circumstances.	to be found liable.		
in the case of retirement, disability or death.	Under section 21.1, if an employer that fails to deduct or remit	A director is not liable for		
	an amount as and when required is a corporation, the persons	a failure of the corporation		
Under section 21 of	who were the directors of the corporation at the time when the	to withhold and remit		
the CPP, employers are	failure occurred are jointly and severally liable, together with the	CPP contributions where		
generally required to	corporation, to pay that amount and any interest or penalties	the director exercised		
deduct and remit an	relating to it.	the degree of care,		
amount (determined by		diligence and skill to		
the Government) from		prevent the failure that		
the remuneration paid		a reasonably prudent		
to employees, and to		person would have		
remit that amount and		exercised in comparable		
a contribution that the		circumstances. Further, no		
employer is required to		action or proceedings to		
make to the CPP.		recover any such amounts		
		from a director may be		
		commenced more than		
		two years after the director		
		ceased to be a director of		
		the corporation.		

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
Employer Health Tax Act, R	.S.O. 1990, c. E.11			
The Act imposes a payroll tax that provides partial funding by Ontario employers for the Ontario Health Insurance Plan (OHIP).	Sections 31 to 36 provide that where a corporation contravenes or fails to comply with any provision of the Act, such as failing to remit taxes or to report or retain records, "any officer director or agent of the corporation who directed, authorized, assented to, acquiesced in, or participated in, the commission of the offence is guilty of the offence". Depending on the nature of the offence, the penalty may be a fine of not more than \$5,000 per day, imprisonment for up to two years and/or a percentage of the tax that should have been paid, whether or not the corporation has been prosecuted or convicted.	There is no statutory defence. Among other measures, directors may want to require management to report on the corporation's compliance with the requirements of the Act on a regular basis.		
<i>Excise Tax Act,</i> R.S.C., 1985 The Act mandates that businesses collect Goods and Services Tax (GST) on all household products and services bought by consumers.	c. E-15 [federal] Subsection 323(1) provides that "if a corporation fails to remit an amount of net tax required under the Act or to pay an amount that was paid to, or was applied to the liability of, the corporation as a net tax refund, the directors of the corporation at the time the corporation was required to remit or pay, the amount are jointly and severally liable, together with the corporation, to pay the amount and any interest on, or penalties relating to, the amount."	Certain procedural requirements (initiated through the Federal Court) must be met for a director to be found liable. A statutory due diligence defence is available to directors.		
Income Tax Act, R.S.C., 198	5, c. 1 (5th Supp.) [federal]		J	
The Act guides the application and collection of income tax, including both personal and corporate tax.	Section 227.1, provides that, under certain circumstances, directors are jointly and severally liable to pay all employee income tax deductions which the corporation fails to remit. Claims must be brought before the expiry of the second year following the date on which the director ceases to be a director.	Certain procedural requirements (initiated through the Federal Court) must be met for a director to be found liable. A statutory due diligence defence is available to directors.		

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date				
Taxation Act, 2007, S.O. 20	<i>Taxation Act</i> , 2007, S.O. 2007, c. 11, Sched. A, R.S.O. 1990, c. I.2 [provincial]							
The Act is the provincial counterpart to the federal <i>Income Tax Act</i> . This Act enables the provincial income taxation scheme. Note that the <i>Taxation</i> <i>Act</i> , 2007 (Ontario) largely replaces the <i>Corporations</i> <i>Tax Act</i> (Ontario) and the <i>Income Tax Act</i> (Ontario) for years ending after 2008.	Directors may be held personally liable, under subsection 139(1) of the provincial <i>Taxation Act</i> , if they fail to deduct or withhold the amounts the corporation is required to deduct or withhold under the <u>federal Income Tax Act</u> . Directors may be jointly and severally liable, together with the corporation, for payment of up to the amount that should have been deducted or withheld plus interest and for a penalty.	Certain procedural requirements (initiated through the Superior Court) must be met for a director to be found liable. A statutory due diligence defence is available to directors. No action or proceedings to recover any amounts owed from a director may be commenced more than two years after the director ceased to be a director of the corporation.						
<i>Insurance Act,</i> R.S.O. 1990, The Act governs all matters relating to contracts of insurance and insurable interests in the province.	c. 1.8 Directors are guilty of an offence under subsection 447(4) of the Act, if they cause, authorize, permit or participate in the corporation making a false or misleading statement or misrepresentation in connection with the corporation's entitlement under a contract of insurance, willfully fail to inform the insurer of a change in connection with such an entitlement, make a false or misleading statement to obtain payment, or fail to take reasonable care to prevent the corporation from committing any such offence. The fines on a first conviction is not more than \$100,000 and on each subsequent conviction, not more than \$200,000, whether or not the corporation has been prosecuted for or convicted of the offence. In addition, the court may order a person convicted under the Act to compensate or make restitution in connection with the offence.	There is no statutory due diligence defence. Proceedings must be commenced within two years of the date on which the facts first came to the knowledge of the Superintendent of Financial Services.						

Director's Obligations	Penalties and Offences	Commentary	Applicable Risk Management Process	Applicable Date
Pension Benefits Act, R.S.O	. 1990, c. P.8			
The Act applies to designated pension plans provided for persons employed in Ontario. It establishes the benefits required to be provided under such plans, the content of documents that create and support a pension plan, and imposes a number of obligations on employers. It is an offence to contravene the Act or an order made under the Act by the Superintendent of Financial Services.	<ul> <li>Under section 57 of the Act, whether an employer receives money from an employee for payment into a pension fund or withholds money by way of a payroll deduction or otherwise for payment into a pension fund, or is required to pay contributions to a pension fund, those monies (or the amount that the corporation is required to pay) are deemed to be held by the employer in trust for the beneficiaries of the pension plan.</li> <li>Under section 110 of the Act, every director, officer, official or agent of a corporation is guilty of an offence if he/she: <ul> <li>Causes, authorizes, permits, acquiesces or participates in the commission of an offence by the corporation; or</li> <li>Fails to take all reasonable care in the circumstances to prevent the corporation from committing an offence.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A person who is guilty of an offence described above is liable on a first conviction to a fine of not more than \$100,000 and on each subsequent conviction to a fine of not more than \$200,000 whether or not the corporation has been prosecuted for, or convicted of, an offence arising from the same facts or circumstances. Further, where a person is convicted of an offence related to the failure to submit or make payment to a pension fund or to an insurance company, the court that convicts the person may, in addition to any fine imposed, assess the amount not submitted or not paid and order the person to pay the amount to the pension fund or to the insurance company. In addition to the fines which may be levied in respect of convictions under the Act, as of January 1, 2018, a regime was created which provides for the imposition of administrative monetary penalties (AMPs) on any person who has failed to comply with the Act, up to a maximum of \$25,000 for a person (including a director). Note that most hospitals have pension plans that are administered centrally or through a professional firm, as a way of managing risks associated with AMPs.</li> </ul>	There is a statutory defence in certain circumstances. Under section 110, a director that takes all reasonable care to prevent a corporation from committing an offence will not be held liable for the offence. There is also a five- year limitation period on prosecutions for an offence (from the date when the offence occurred or is alleged to have occurred).		

# PART 2: PERIODIC REPORTING COMPLIANCE FOR THE 2022 REPORTING YEAR

## A. Overview

There are a number of statutes in Ontario that impose reporting requirements in the hospital context. These statutes require hospitals to prepare periodic (annual or more frequent) reports to the relevant oversight body (for example, a hospital committee, a regulatory body or the government); and in some cases, to make those reports available publicly on the hospital's website. Accountability in this context requires hospitals to navigate these various reporting requirements, to ensure that they meet their obligations towards stakeholders. Hospitals should be aware of any additional reporting requirements that may exist outside of these statutes, including those established under contract.

## B. General Checklist: Updates to the Fourth Edition (2022)

This checklist has been updated for the 2022 reporting year, reflecting applicable reporting timeframes and relevant legal changes that occurred since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in March 2020. In particular, hospitals may wish to ensure that they are aware of:

- Changes to the Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) submission process under the *Excellent Care for All Act*, 2004, to reflect the passage of *The Connecting Care Act*, 2019; and changes in progress to the provincial directive making authority for "health sector" procurement through the entry into force of the *Supply Chain Management Act* (*Government, Broader Public Sector and Health Sector Entities*), 2019; and,
- Changes to federal reporting requirements related to serious adverse drug reactions and medical device incidents under the *Food and Drugs Act*.

Where applicable, relevant links have been provided to OHA resources or other guidance resources, to assist hospitals in meeting their periodic reporting obligations. Note that specific proclamation dates for some legislative changes have not been established at the time of writing; and we encourage hospitals to continuously review OHA backgrounders and communications for the most current guidance.

**Please note that this is a general checklist and is intended to serve as preliminary guidance only**. It does <u>not</u> represent an exhaustive summary of all legislation with which hospitals have to comply. Hospitals may wish to use this checklist as a starting point for their own processes and policies to manage periodic reporting requirements.

Hospitals should review the checklist as well as the relevant legislation, to ensure that they meet the mandated deadlines. We would encourage you to share the checklist with the appropriate individuals within your organization.

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act, 2005					
Web Link: Government of Ontario, Background on Accessibility L	.aws				
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS					
<ul> <li>Hospitals are considered "designated public sector organizations" under the legislation.</li> <li>Hospitals with 50 or more employees are classified as a "large designated public sector organization."</li> <li>Hospitals with at least one, but fewer than 50 employees are classified as a "small designated public sector organization."</li> <li>All hospitals are required to have accessibility policies in place; and to develop, implement and maintain multi-year accessibility plans.</li> <li>Hospitals are also required to ensure that they provide training to their employees and volunteers on accessibility issues.</li> <li>Accessibility criteria must be incorporated during procurement processes or when acquiring goods, services and facilities.</li> <li>Further information: Government of Ontario, Accessibility Rules for Public Sector Organizations</li> </ul>	January 1, 2010, with ongoing compliance dates Compliance dates vary depending on the size of the hospital, either as a "large designated public sector organization" or a "small designated public sector organization".				Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act, 2005 and O. Reg. 191/11: Integrated Accessibility Standards issued thereunder

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
REQUIRED REPORTS AND PROCESSES		1			1
<ul> <li>Requirements under this Act and its Regulation Integrated Accessibility Standards include:</li> <li>Requiring that all employees and volunteers be trained on accessible customer service;</li> <li>Expanding the types of health care professionals who can provide documentation of the need for a service animal; and</li> <li>Clarifying that an organization can only require a support person to accompany someone with a disability for the purposes of health or safety and in consultation with the person.</li> </ul>	July 1, 2016	Ongoing compliance dates. General information on filing Accessibility Compliance Reports can be found on the Government of Ontario's <u>website</u> .			Integrated Accessibility Standards, O. Reg. 191/11
<ul> <li>Integrated Accessibility Standards Regulation</li> <li>Combines general accessibility requirements and five specific accessibility standards:</li> <li>1. Customer Service Standard – applying to the provision of goods, services and facilities.</li> <li>2. Information and Communications Standard – providing people with disabilities with more access to accessible formats and communication supports.</li> </ul>	Compliance dates are particular to each of the five standards, and depend on the size of the hospital, either as a "large designated public sector organization" or a "small designated public sector organization".	Ongoing compliance dates.			Integrated Accessibility Standards, O. Reg. 191/11
<b>3. Employment Standard</b> – accommodating persons with disabilities in more workplaces.					
<ol> <li>Transportation Standard – making it easier for people with disabilities to travel in Ontario.</li> </ol>					
<ul> <li>5. Design of Public Spaces Standard (Built Environment) – removing physical barriers in building and public spaces.</li> <li>Designated public sector organizations, including hospitals, are required to file a bi-annual Accessibility Compliance Reports.</li> </ul>					

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source			
FURTHER DETAILS ON REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE INTEGRATED	FURTHER DETAILS ON REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE INTEGRATED ACCESSIBILITY STANDARDS REGULATION							
Customer Service Standard, O. Reg. 191/11, Part IV.2 Hospitals are required to provide accessible customer service, which includes:	January 1, 2010, with ongoing compliance dates	Report <b>due December</b> <b>31, 2013</b> and every two years thereafter.			Integrated Accessibility Standards, O. Reg. 191/11			
<ul> <li>Training staff and volunteers on service standards for people of all abilities;</li> </ul>					Part IV.2			
<ul> <li>Keeping a written record of the training;</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>Welcoming service animals and support persons;</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>Creating accessible ways for people to provide feedback;</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>Informing the public when accessible goods and services are temporarily unavailable; and</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>Developing, implementing and maintaining policies on the provision of goods, services and facilities to persons with disabilities.</li> </ul>								
Information And Communications Standard, O. Reg 191/11, Part II	Various dates:	Report <b>due December</b> <b>31, 2013</b> and every two			Integrated Accessibility			
<ul> <li>Organizations must provide accessible formats and communication supports in relation to their communications with the public.</li> </ul>	See Regulation for requirements regarding particular kinds of communications.	years thereafter.			Standards, O. Reg 191/11, Part II			
<ul> <li>This includes a number of requirements that hospitals must phase into regular business processes by 2021 (including accessible websites, feedback processes, emergency procedures/plans and public safety information).</li> </ul>								
• See the Regulation for the compliance dates for specific requirements.								

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
<ul> <li>Employment Standards, O. Reg 191/11, Part III</li> <li>Hospitals, as employers, are required to make their employment practices and workplaces accessible to potential and existing employees with disabilities.</li> <li>This includes: <ul> <li>Recruitment, selection and assessment processes;</li> <li>Performance management and career development processes; and</li> <li>Accommodation plans for employees with disabilities.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Note: these obligations do not apply in respect of volunteers and other non-paid individuals.</li> </ul>	Large designated public sector organizations <b>by</b> January 1, 2014 Small designated public sector organizations <b>by</b> January 1, 2015	Report <b>due December</b> <b>31, 2015</b> and every two years thereafter.			Integrated Accessibility Standards, O. Reg 191/11, Part III
<ul> <li>Transportation Standards, O. Reg 191/11, Part IV</li> <li>Hospitals are classified as organizations not primarily in the business of transportation.</li> <li>If they provide transportation services, they are required to either use accessible vehicles on main transit routes, or provide an equivalent service on request (this service must offer similar fares, schedules and routes).</li> </ul>	<b>July 1, 2011</b> , with ongoing compliance dates	Report <b>due December</b> <b>31, 2013</b> and every two years thereafter.			Integrated Accessibility Standards, O. Reg 191/11, Part IV
<ul> <li>Design of Public Spaces Standards (Accessibility Standards For The Built Environment), O. Reg 191/11, Part IV.1</li> <li>A number of technical requirements apply for new and redeveloped outdoor recreational, eating, travel, service counter, waiting area, and parking spaces. See the Regulation for specific requirements.</li> <li>Multi-year accessibility plans must include procedures for preventative and emergency maintenance of above spaces and procedures for dealing with temporary disruptions of the above spaces.</li> </ul>	For all designated public sector organizations, <b>by</b> <b>January 1, 2016</b>	Report <b>due December</b> <b>31, 2017</b> and every two years thereafter.			Integrated Accessibility Standards, O. Reg 191/11, Part IV.1

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
Broader Public Sector Accountability Act, 2010					
Web Link: Ontario Government, Broader Public Sector Accounta	<u>bility</u>				
DIRECTIVES UNDER THE BPSAA					
<ul> <li>DIRECTIVES UNDER THE BPSAA</li> <li>There are several directives under the BPSAA :<sup>7</sup></li> <li><b>1.</b> Broader Public Sector Business Documents Directive</li> <li>This Directive sets out the requirement for all designated BPS organizations to prepare and publish online business plans and other business or financial documents.</li> <li>Hospitals must prepare and publish online business plans and other business or financial documents containing specified information: <ul> <li>(A) Business plans that contain at minimum the following information:</li> <li>An organization's mandate and strategic direction;</li> <li>An overview of current and future programs and key activities; and</li> <li>Performance measures and targets.</li> </ul> </li> <li>(B) Additional business or financial documents that contain at minimum the following information: <ul> <li>A description of key activities over the previous fiscal year of the organization;</li> <li>An analysis of operational performance;</li> <li>A discussion of outcome and output-based performance targets achieved and actions to be taken if not achieved;</li> <li>Audited financial statements;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	January 1, 2016	<ul> <li>Information required under (A) must be posted each year within six months of the beginning of the hospital's fiscal year.</li> <li>Information required under (B) must be posted within six months of the end of the hospital's fiscal year.</li> </ul>			BPSAA, s. 13.1

<sup>7</sup> The Part II.1 repeal has entered into force, pursuant to its 2019 amendments. Note: hospitals would have likely been aware of these changes in response to the new Executive Compensation Framework dated August 13, 2018.

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
o a discussion of risks and other factors impacting performance and results, including mitigation plans where performance and results were not achieved; and					
o an analysis of financial performance, including variances between actual financial results against budgeted/forecasted amounts for the prior year.					
• All the documents and information required in this directive must be available on the same webpage on the organization's website.					
• An organization's annual report may satisfy these requirements if it contains all the necessary information.					
• The Government has also developed an outline of best practices (2019), to improve the quality of reporting in annual reports and business documents. Hospitals are encouraged to review these best practices here (as originally referenced in Chapter 4 of the Auditor General of Ontario's 2017 Annual Report).					
Further Information: Frequently Asked Questions about the Business Documents Directive					

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
<ol> <li>BPS Expenses Directive, 2020         This Directive sets out the requirement for designated BPS organizations to establish expense rules when their expenses are reimbursed from public funds.         Every hospital must establish rules for all individuals in the hospital with respect to travel, meal and hospitality expenses. These expense rules apply to any individual in the organization making an expense claim.         Every hospital must post travel, meal and hospitality expenses for designated individuals (Board of Directors, CEO, COO and the senior management group reporting to the CEO or COO).     </li> <li>The expense rules may use meal allowances (where meal expenses are reimbursed at established rates without receipts) or may require original and itemized receipts for meals.     </li> <li>The information must be posted on a website readily available to the public.</li> <li>Expense rules must also be posted on the hospital's website, so they are readily available to the public, and must be available in accessible formats.</li> <li>The expense rules must also provide direction on the circumstances in which a consultant or contractor can claim and be reimbursed for expenses. The rules should set out what is an allowable expense.</li> <li>Individuals approving claims are prohibited from approving their own expenses; and expenses for a group can only be claimed by the most senior person present.</li> </ol>	April 1, 2011; revised version effective January 1, 2020	Reports semi-annually beginning in the 2011/2012 Fiscal Year. Expenses must be posted no later than 60 days following the end of the semi-annual reporting period. Reporting and posting cycle: Period of April 1-September 30 by November 30; and period of October 1-March 31 by May 31.			BPSAA, ss. 8-11

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
<ul> <li>3. BPS Perquisites Directive</li> <li>This Directive sets out the requirement for designated BPS organizations to establish rules related to perks in cases where perks are provided through public funds.</li> <li>A perquisite is not allowable if it is not a business-related requirement. To be allowable, a perquisite must be a business-related requirement for the effective performance of an individual's job.</li> <li>Every designated organization must establish rules respecting perquisites that address:</li> <li>Which expenses will be allowable as relating to business requirements for the effective performance of a person's job;</li> </ul>	August 2, 2011	Every hospital is required to submit to the relevant LHIN, or Ontario Health, as applicable, the required attestation, approved by the hospital's board, covering the Reporting Period (i.e. April 1 to the following March 31), <b>by</b> <b>June 30 of every year</b> .			BPSAA, s. 11.1
<ul> <li>Club memberships, seasons' tickets to cultural or sporting events, clothing allowances unrelated to job requirements, access to private health clinics, and professional advisory services cannot be provided by any means;</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>An accountability framework to ensure that there is appropriate governance, and that everyone understands who in the organization has the authority for approvals. The approval authority for an allowable perquisite should be at a high level within the organization;</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Record-keeping practices, to be maintained for verification and audit purposes; and</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>How summary information about allowable perquisites will be made publicly available. This summary information should be made available on an annual basis.</li> </ul>					
<b>Further information</b> : FAQs on expense rules in the broader public sector					

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
<ul> <li>4. Broader Public Sector Procurement Directive This Directive sets out requirements to ensure that designated BPS organizations acquire publicly funded goods and services through a process that is open, fair and transparent. </li> <li>Under the Directive, hospitals must undertake procurement of goods and services in accordance with Ministry issued directives. </li> <li>Hospitals must formally adopt the supply chain code of ethics (code) in accordance with their governance processes.</li> <li>The code must be made available and visible to all hospital members, suppliers and stakeholders involved with supply chain activities. </li> <li>Further information: Directives to hospitals in respect of</li> </ul>	July 1, 2011	Every hospital is required to submit to the relevant LHIN, or Ontario Health, as applicable, the required attestation, approved by the hospital's Board, covering the Reporting Period (i.e. April 1 to the following March 31), <b>by</b> <b>June 30 of every year</b>			BPSAA, s. 12
reporting requirements under the BPSAA and Questions and Answers: Broader Public Sector Procurement Directive					
Note that this Directive must be read alongside the requirements in the <i>Supply Chain Management Act</i> <i>(Government, Broader Public Sector and Health Sector Entities)</i> , 2019 and its Regulations.					
At the time of writing, the <i>Supply Chain Management Act</i> has been proclaimed into force, but a directive under O. Reg. 612/20 (Centralized Supply Chain Ontario) has not yet been issued to supersede the BPS Procurement Directive.					

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source				
Other restrictions and required reports									
<ul> <li>Reporting on Use of Consultants</li> <li>Further information: Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, BPSAA Questions and Answers for Hospitals Expenses, Reporting and Attestation</li> <li>Hospitals must prepare reports on the use of consultants; reports must be approved by the hospital board (for public hospitals and the Ottawa Heart Institute).</li> <li>Must report on consulting services provided by lawyers/law firms, but are not required to report when lawyers/law firms retained to provide legal services for a hospital (solicitor-client privilege, litigation privilege &amp; settlement privilege preserved).</li> <li>Reports must be prepared in accordance with Ministry directives.</li> </ul>	April 1, 2011	Every hospital must submit its reports to the relevant LHIN, or Ontario Health, as applicable, <b>by June 30 every year,</b> <b>starting in 2012</b> . Reporting period for subsequent years: April 1 to March 31.			BPSAA, s. 6				
<ul> <li>Prohibition on Engaging Lobbyists</li> <li>Hospitals shall not engage an external lobbyist to provide lobbyist services where the compensation for the services is paid for by public funds, or from revenues generated from the hospital.</li> <li>Amendments to the Lobbyist Registration Act (LRA)</li> <li>Amendments to the LRA came into force in July 2016.</li> <li>A key change is a lower threshold for the mandatory registration of lobbying activity. This requires hospitals to register their lobbying activity with the Integrity Commissioner when lobbying activity by paid hospital staff totals 50 hours or more per year.</li> <li>The registration must be filed within 2 months of the lobbyist meeting the definition of an in-house lobbyist in the LRA.</li> <li>See also: Office of the Integrity Commissioner, Changes to the Lobbyist Registration Act.</li> </ul>	January 1, 2011 July 1, 2016	Every hospital is required to submit to the relevant LHIN, or Ontario Health, as applicable, the required attestation, approved by the hospital's board, covering the Reporting Period (i.e. April 1 to the following March 31), <b>by</b> <b>June 30 of every year</b> .			BPSAA, s. 4				

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
<ul> <li>Attestations - Compliance Reports</li> <li>Further information: Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, Directive to Hospitals in Respect of Reporting Requirements under the BPSAA</li> <li>Every hospital is required to prepare attestations, attesting to: <ol> <li>The completion and accuracy of reports required on the use of consultants;</li> <li>Compliance with the prohibition on engaging lobbyist services using public funds;</li> <li>Compliance with Expense Claim Directives issued by the Management Board of Cabinet;</li> <li>Compliance with the Perquisites Directive issued by the Management Board of Cabinet;</li> <li>Compliance with Procurement Directives issued by the Management Board of Cabinet;</li> <li>Compliance with Directives issued by the Management Board of Cabinet;</li> <li>Compliance with Directives issued by the Management Board of Cabinet; and</li> <li>Compliance with Directives issued by the Management Board of Cabinet on the preparation and publication of business plans and other business or financial documents.</li> <li>Attestations must be made by the administrator and approved by the hospital board.</li> <li>Board approved attestations must be posted publicly on the hospital's website by August 31 of each year.</li> <li>Attestations to remain available for 1 year on main public website, after 1 year they can be moved to the archive section of the website.</li> </ol></li></ul>	April 1, 2011	Every hospital is required to submit to the relevant LHIN, or Ontario Health, as applicable, the required attestation, approved by the hospital's Board, covering the Reporting Period (i.e. April 1 to the following March 31), by June 30 of every year.			BPSAA, s. 15

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
Broader Public Sector Executive Compensation Act, 2014					
Web Link: Government of Ontario, Broader Public Sector Executi	ve Compensation Prog	ram Directive			
<ul> <li>This Act provides for the establishment of compensation frameworks, by way of regulation, for senior executives in designated BPS organizations, including hospitals.</li> <li>Executive Compensation Framework Regulation</li> <li>Sets out the process for determining the maximum amount of salary and performance pay that will be available for designated executives of designated employers, including public hospitals, as well as other parameters regarding other elements of the executives' compensation.</li> <li>Generally, the limits are based on the executives' entitlements as at the Regulation's effective date, August 13, 2018.</li> <li>Executive Compensation Framework Compliance Report. Directive</li> <li>This Directive outlines the reporting requirements that every hospital must comply with under the BPSECA.</li> <li>The Directive requires an Annual Executive Compensation Compliance report to be submitted annually on or before May 1 of each year, covering the preceding period of April 1 to March 31.</li> <li>This report must include a statement signed by the designated employer's board chair, or equivalent highest ranking officer, attesting that the employer has compensated its designated executives in accordance with the terms of the Framework Regulation during the relevant reporting period.<sup>8</sup></li> </ul>	August 13, 2018	<ul> <li>This legislation is particularly subject to change. Please review future OHA backgrounders and communications for further guidance as it becomes available.</li> <li>The previous drafting, consultation, approval, and posting process for executive compensation programs under the former Regulation [O. Reg. 304/16] is no longer applicable.</li> <li>Each designated employer is required to submit an annual executive compensation compliance report (annual compliance report) on or before May 1 of each year.</li> <li>Subsequent reporting years cover April 1 to March 31 of the preceding year.</li> </ul>			

<sup>8</sup> The Provincial government had indicated that it would be reviewing the regulation by June 2019, however, there have been no material changes to date. The OHA will continue to monitor for developments.

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
Connecting Care Act, 2019, S.O. 2019, c. 5, Sched. 1 /Local Health S	System Integration Act,	2006			
<ul> <li>This framework creates obligations for "health service providers" including hospitals.</li> <li>Hospital boards and board chairs have specific roles in service accountability agreements, originally negotiated under section 20 of LHSIA (now repealed) or section 22 of the Connecting Care Act, 2019, as applicable.</li> </ul>	2006	Ongoing. Review the hospital's service accountability agreements for specific dates.			LHSIA, s. 20 CCA, s. 22
• Ontario Health is now the counterparty to service accountability agreements.					
• Specific reporting obligations and timelines are also provided in each service accountability agreement.					
At the time of writing, LHSIA continues to be in the process of being repealed and replaced by the CCA. Provisions of LHSIA remain in force which deal with the continued role of the LHINs (now Home Care and Community Support Services organizations). The CCA creates Ontario Health and provides modifies the framework under which health service providers are funded, organized and overseen.					

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
Controlled Drugs and Substances Act [Federal]					
Web Link: <u>Guidance on reporting loss or theft of controlled subst</u>	tances and precursors				
<ul> <li>Under the CDSA and its regulations, hospitals are required to report loss or theft of controlled substances and precursors to Health Canada's Office of Controlled Substances (OCS) and police. Under this framework, a loss is reportable when:</li> <li>o No reasonable explanation on the basis of normally accepted business activities exists for the loss at the time of discovery</li> </ul>		Ensure that processes are in place for reporting when required.			Controlled Drugs and Substances Act, S.C. 1996, c.19
<ul> <li>o It is suspected the substance has been diverted to the illegal market</li> <li>Discrepancies in inventory records that can be reconciled with explanations from other records, inventory count or documented normal business practices, do not need to be reported.</li> <li>In cases where the loss of controlled substances can be explained by normally accepted business activities, hospitals must retain records of the name and quantity of substances and date and details of the loss.</li> </ul>					Precursor Control Regulations s. 91.96 Narcotics Control Regulations s. 63(c) Benzo-
Reporting Timelines Reporting timelines are broken down by the substance type. Precursors Must notify police within 24 hours and to the OCS within 72 hours. Narcotics Must notify OCS within 10 calendar days. Targeted Substances Must notify OCS within 10 calendar days. Controlled Drugs Must report to OCS within 10 calendar days.					diazepines and Other Targeted Substances Regulations s. 61(2) s. 73(2) Food and Drug Regulations, Part G, s. G05.004 Food and Drug Regulations

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
Electricity Act, 1998					
<ul> <li>Broader Public Sector: Energy Reporting and Conservation and Demand Management Plans</li> <li>Under Regulation 507/18, every public hospital is required to prepare, publish, make available to the public and implement energy conservation and demand management plans.</li> </ul>	July 1, 2019 Regulation 507/18 under the Energy Act, 1998	July 1, 2019 and every year thereafter.			O. Reg 507/18, ss. 4 and 5
<ul> <li>This plan is composed of two parts:</li> <li>A summary of the hospital's annual energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions for its operations; and</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>A description of previous, current and proposed measures for conserving and otherwise reducing the amount of energy that the hospital consumes; and for managing the hospital's demand for energy, including a forecast of the expected results of current and proposed measures.</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Annual reports must be completed using the form entitled "Energy Consumption and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reporting" available from the Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines</li> </ul>					O. Reg 507/18 s. 6
• On or before July 1 each year, every hospital must submit the above form to the Minister, publish it on the hospital's website and intranet site (if it has either or both) as well as make it available in printed form to the public at the hospital's head office.					
• There is additional information that hospitals must publish on their website and intranet site (if it has either or both) and make available to the public in printed form at its head office related to energy conservation and demand management measures,					
Further information is available through the Government's Online <u>Guide to Energy and Water Reporting</u>					

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
Excellent Care for All Act, 2010					
Web Link: Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, Background o	n the Excellent Care for	<u>r All Act</u>			
REQUIRED REPORTS AND PROCESSES					
<ul> <li>Annual Quality Improvement Plan (QIP)<sup>9</sup></li> <li>The hospital must develop a QIP every fiscal year for the next fiscal year and make the QIP available to the public.</li> </ul>	Regulation filed June 8, 2010	Have the QIP in place, submitted to Ontario Health, and publicly posted each year <b>by</b> <b>April 1</b> .			ECFAA, s. 8
<ul><li>Factors in Developing the QIP</li><li>The annual QIP must be developed having regard to:</li><li>The results of the surveys;</li></ul>					ECFAA, s. 8
<ul> <li>Data relating to the patient relations process;</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Aggregated critical incident data as compiled based on disclosures of critical incidents and information concerning indicators of the quality of health care provided by the hospital (as set out in Regulation 965 under the <i>Public Hospitals Act</i>); and</li> <li>Any factors provided for in the regulations.</li> </ul>					
Content					ECFAA, s. 8
The annual QIP must contain, at a minimum,					
<ul> <li>Annual performance improvement targets and the justification for those targets;</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Information concerning the manner in and extent to which the health care organization's executive compensation is linked to achievement of those targets; and</li> </ul>					
• Anything else provided for in the regulations. <sup>10</sup>					

<sup>9</sup> Additional requirements were repealed as of January 4, 2020.

<sup>10</sup> Reg 187/15 provides that this shall include indicators that the Minister, after having considered the advice of the Agency, directs in writing.

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
<ul> <li>Mandatory indicators</li> <li>New requirements introduced under the regulation require that hospitals include a number of mandatory indicators as directed in writing by the Minister, after having considered the advice of the Agency, which may relate to safety, effectiveness, patient- centredness, efficiency, timeliness, equity, and workplace violence</li> </ul>	July 2017				Annual Quality Improvement Plan, O Reg 187/15, s. 2
<ul> <li>Patient engagement in the development of the QIP</li> <li>Pursuant to Regulation 187/15 under ECFAA hospitals must engage patients and their caregivers in the development of the annual QIP.</li> </ul>					Annual Quality Improvement Plan, O Reg
• In addition, the QIP must contain a description of the organization's patient engagement activities and an explanation of how these activities inform the development of the QIP.					187/15, s. 1
For further information, see: <u>OHA Backgrounder on Regulation</u> <u>187/15</u>					
<ul> <li>Surveys</li> <li>Every fiscal year, hospitals must carry out a survey of patients from the past 12 months and of caregivers of those persons who had contact with the organization in connection with those services. The purpose of the survey is to collect information concerning satisfaction with services provided.</li> </ul>	January 1, 2011	<b>April 1, 2011</b> and every year thereafter.			ECFAA, s. 5
• <b>Every two fiscal years</b> , hospitals must carry out a survey of employees of the hospital and of persons providing services within the hospital. The purpose of the survey is to collect information on the satisfaction of employees and other persons with their experience working for or providing services within the organization, and to solicit views about the quality of care provided by the health care organization		<b>April 1, 2012</b> and every two years thereafter.			

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
<ul><li>Patient Relations</li><li>Every hospital is required to have a Patient Relations Process.</li></ul>	January 1, 2011				ECFAA, s. 6
• Hospital must make information about that process available to the public.					
• Hospital must ensure that the patient relations process reflects the content of its patient declaration of values.					
<ul> <li>Pursuant to Regulation 188/15 under ECFAA, effective September</li> <li>1, 2015, the following additional requirements apply:</li> <li>Processes must be in place for receiving, reviewing and for attempting to resolve complaints expeditiously. Hospitals are required to provide a status update of the review of a complaint within 5 days of the hospital receiving the complaint and whenever a complainant reasonably requests further information.</li> </ul>		Semi-annual (every 6 months) reporting at the meeting of the Quality Committee.			Patient Relations Process, O.Reg 188/15, ss. 2-4
• Hospitals are also required to engage patients, former patients and their caregivers in designing, reviewing and maintaining these patient relations processes.					
• Hospitals must have data recording, data monitoring and data analysis practices in place relating to their patient complaints process. See O. Reg 188/15 s. 2(3) for list of information that must be recorded.					
• The patient relations process delegate is required to present aggregate data regarding the patient relations process to the hospital's Quality Committee twice annually (every 6 months).					
<ul> <li>For further information, see: OHA Backgrounder on Regulation 188/15</li> </ul>					

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
<ul> <li>Patient Declaration of Values</li> <li>Every hospital must have a publicly available patient declaration of values that has been developed in consultation with the public.</li> <li>A hospital may amend its patient declaration of values after consulting with the public, and shall make every amended declaration available to the public.</li> </ul>	June 8, 2010	Must consult on draft Declaration <b>by</b> <b>December 8, 2010</b> . Publicly available Declaration of Values <b>by</b> <b>June 8, 2011</b> .			ECFAA, s. 7
Fire Protection and Prevention Act, 1997					
<ul> <li>Fire Code (Ont. Reg 213/07)</li> <li>Care and Treatment Occupancies</li> <li>Hospitals fall under the definition of "care and treatment occupancy" (CATOs) under the Building Code as being "an occupancy where people receive special care and treatment".</li> </ul>		One-time plan submitted to the Chief Fire Official for approval.			Fire Code, Division B, s. 2.8 Division A, s. 1.4
<ul> <li>A fire safety plan is required for all CATOs. This plan must be submitted to the Chief Fire Official for approval.</li> </ul>					(definition of "supervisory
<ul> <li>Fire drills must be held for supervisory staff at least monthly. This does not necessarily mean a total evacuation drill – but may include occupant involvement in other ways (such as familiarization with primary and alternate evacuation routes and general awareness of the building's emergency procedures – i.e. a silent drill or a table talk drill).</li> </ul>		Records of fire drills must			staff") <u>TG-02-1999</u> <u>"Fire Safety</u> <u>Planning</u> <u>Guideline for</u> <u>Institutional</u> <u>Facilities</u> "
• Supervisory staff must be trained in fire emergency procedures.		be retained.			Fire Drill
• All fire drills must be documented, and the documentation retained for at least 12 months. The documentation should identify the date of the drill, persons participating, the type of drill, fire drill scenario, and the summary analysis and outcomes of the fire drill.		Record keeping requirements also apply to copies of test or inspections required by the Code.			Guidelines: TG-01-2004
• Copies of any records required by the Code (for example, for tests and inspections) must be retained by the hospital for a period of at least two years; and so that at least the most recent and the immediately preceding record of a given test are maintained.					

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
<ul> <li>Specific annual fire drill for lowest staffing level</li> <li>Hospitals must conduct an annual fire drill for an approved scenario representing the <u>lowest</u> staffing level complement to confirm adequacy of supervisory staff levels.</li> <li>A Fire Chief Official must be notified within an approved time of every such annual fire drill that is carried out.</li> <li>Records of the fire drill must be kept for a period of 12 months.</li> </ul>	Jan 1, 2014	<b>During 2014</b> and every year thereafter. Records of the fire drill must be retained.			O Reg 213/07, s. 2.8
<ul> <li>Qualification of Persons Responsible for Implementing and Approving Fire Safety Plans in Vulnerable Occupancies</li> <li>Persons responsible for implementing fire safety plans in vulnerable occupancies (including hospitals and long-term care homes) must successfully complete a qualification course acceptable to the Fire Marshal.</li> <li>Training is offered through the Public Services Health and Safety Association.</li> </ul>	Jan 1, 2017	Training course must have been completed <b>by</b> Jan 1, 2017.			Fire Code, Division C, Section 1.2
<ul> <li>See also: Office of the Fire Marshal Bulletin 004/16</li> <li>Food and Drugs Act (RSC, 1985, c. F-27) [Federal]</li> </ul>					
Web Link: Mandatory reporting of serious adverse drug reaction	is and medical device incid	lents by hospitals			
<ul> <li>Serious Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs)</li> <li>Requires hospitals to report all serious ADRs to Health Canada, even if they occur as a result of off-label use.</li> <li>In order to determine whether the ADR meets the threshold of "serious", Health Canada advises that the following should be considered: <ul> <li>Has the ADR resulted in or extended in-patient hospitalization, congenital malformation or persistent or significant disability or incapacity</li> <li>Is the ADR life threatening or resulted in death?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	December 2019	Ensure that processes are in place for reporting when required.			Food and Drugs Regulation, C.01.020.1
<ul> <li>Does the ADR require medical intervention in order to prevent any of the outcomes above?</li> </ul>					

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
Medical Device Incidents (MDI)					Medical
• MDI refers to an incident related to a failure of a medical device					Devices
or a deterioration in its effectiveness or any inadequacy in its					Regulations,
labeling or in its directions for use that has led to the death or a					s. 62
serious deterioration in the state of health of a patient, user or					
other person or could so so were it to recur.					
Reporting Timelines					
• Hospitals are required to report to Health Canada within 30					
calendar days from the date of first documentation within the					
hospital for ADR or MDI events.					
Jurisdiction					
• Hospitals are required to report any serious ADR or MDI that it					
documents, regardless of whether it originated within or outside					
of a hospital setting, or if the patient is admitted to the hospital.					
For example, if an ADR or MDI occur in another institution (e.g.					
long-term care) and led to the patient's hospitalization and was					
documented by that admitting hospital, that hospital would be					
required to report the event.					

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source	
Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act						
OHA Link: OHA Freedom of Information Toolkit (member login is	s required for full access)					
REQUIRED REPORTS AND PROCESSES						
<ul> <li>Make materials available</li> <li>Information and privacy heads must make manuals, directives or guidelines (and related instructions/guidance) related to an enactment on rights, privileges or benefits conferred (and whether to suspend or revoke them) or related to an enactment that imposes obligations or liabilities, available on the internet or in print in the designated reading room, library or office.</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>Information and privacy head must make reports to the Information and Privacy Commissioner</li> <li>Report of the number of requests, number of refusals, purposes/ uses of disclosures, fees collected and any other practice put into place.</li> <li>Information and privacy head must conduct an annual review to ensure up-to-date information.</li> <li>Make report available on the internet or in print in the designated reading room, library or office.</li> <li>Information and Privacy Commission Guidelines for Annual Reporting: Annual Statistical Reporting and Supporting PDF. Materials</li> </ul>	January 1, 2012	2012 and every year thereafter. 2018 reporting deadline is February 28, 2018. January 1 – December 31 is the reporting year.			FIPPA, ss. 34, 35 and 36	

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
Occupational Health and Safety Act					
OHA Link: Proposed Regulation on Mandatory Reporting of Priv	<u>acy Breaches</u>				
RELEVANT BACKGROUND					
<ul> <li>The Personal Health Information Protection Act (2004) (PHIPA) sets out the rules around personal health information (PHI) to ensure that patient privacy is protected.</li> <li>Health information custodians (such as hospitals) are required to inform individuals when PHI is used or disclosed in an unauthorized manner. They must also inform individuals when PHI is lost or stolen. Hospitals are also required to make note of the uses and disclosures and keep the note as part of the individual's record of PHI.</li> </ul>	June 3, 2016	Point-in-time reporting is required to regulatory colleges and the IPC at every instance of a privacy breach meeting prescribed circumstances.			Personal Health Information Protection Act 2004, s.12(3) PHIPA, ss. 12(2), 16(2)
• Hospitals are required to give written notice to the relevant regulatory college where a regulated health professional collects, uses or discloses PHI in an unauthorized manner.	June 3, 2016				O. Reg 329/04 s. 6.3
<ul> <li>Hospitals are also subject to mandatory reporting of privacy breaches to the Office of the Information and Privacy Commissioner (IPC) in prescribed circumstances.</li> </ul>					
• Hospitals are required to notify the IPC <u>every time</u> unauthorized access meets the prescribed requirements of the regulation, as of <b>October 1, 2017</b> .	October 1, 2017	Annual tracking is			O. Reg 329/04, s. 6.5
• Hospitals are also required to provide an annual report to the IPC with privacy breach statistics, starting <b>March 2019</b> for the previous calendar year and continuing each calendar year thereafter.	March 2019	required. The deadline to submit the 2021 statistical report is <b>Thursday, March 31,</b>			
<b>Further information:</b> IPC Guidance Document on Reporting Privacy Breaches to the Commissioner; and IPC Guidance Document on Annual Reporting of Privacy Breach Statistics		<ul><li>2022. The deadline to submit the 2021 report on privacy breaches is</li><li>Tuesday, March 1, 2022.</li></ul>			
<b>See also:</b> <u>OHA Annual Breach Reporting Tracking Tool for 2020</u> (located in "Privacy" section)					

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
Protecting a Sustainable Public Sector for Future Generations Act,	<u>2019, S.O. 2019, c.12</u>				
The Management Board of Cabinet may issue directives to employers and to employers' organizations requiring that they provide information relating to collective bargaining and compensation, for the purposes of ensuring compliance with the Act. This could include, without limitation, information respecting compensation, employer costing, bargaining mandates and submissions to arbitrators. The Management Board of Cabinet may issue a directive to authorize the disclosure of the above-noted information to a range of other persons employed or engaged by the government including, without limitation, a "consultant or advisor retained to provide advice or services in relation to compensation matters. For further information see: <u>OHA Backgrounder on Bill 124</u>	Continue to monitor for developments. Ensure that appropriate policies are in place for reporting if directive issued under the Act.				Protecting a Sustainable Public Sector for Future Generations Act, 2019, S.O. 109, c. 12, s. 25 Protecting a Sustainable Public Sector for Future Generations Act, 2019, S.O. 109, c. 12, s. 25(5)
Public Hospitals Act, R.R.O. 1990, Regulation 965					
REQUIRED REPORTS AND PROCESSES					
<ul> <li>Notice to College of Disciplinary Action Against Physician</li> <li>In certain enumerated circumstances where a hospital takes disciplinary action against a physician, the hospital must prepare and forward a report to the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario (CPSO)</li> </ul>	<b>January 1, 2004</b> (for some); <b>August 1, 2016</b> (for some)	Ongoing, as necessary			Public Hospitals Act, s 33

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
<ul> <li>Reg 965: Critical Incident Reporting</li> <li>Critical incidents must be disclosed to the MAC, the hospital administrator and the patient</li> </ul>	July 1, 2010				Hospital Management, Reg. 965
• Hospital board must ensure that the administrator establishes a system for ensuring the incident is analyzed and a plan is developed with systemic steps to avoid or reduce the risk of further similar incidents.	January 1, 2011				
• Hospital board must ensure that the administrator provides aggregated critical incident data to the Quality Committee at least two times per year.					
• Systemic or recurring quality of care issues identified by the MAC should be provided as recommendations to the Quality Committee.					
• <u>Directive</u> : hospitals are required to report all critical incidents related to medication / IV fluids through the National System of Incident Reporting (NSIR) within 30 days following the disclosure of the critical incident to the MAC, administrator and/or patient.	October 1, 2011 July 1, 2017	Aggregated critical incident reporting to the Quality Committee at least two times per year.			
• Changes to Reg 965 were introduced through the 2015 review of the <i>Quality of Care Information Protection Act</i> (QCIPA) and came effect on <b>July 1, 2017</b> . Among the new requirements, hospitals are required to:					
o Disclose the cause or causes of critical incidents, if known;					
o Ensure that a person representing the patient perspective is included during all critical incident reviews; and					
o Offer to interview affected patients or their families in reviewing a critical incident					

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
<ul> <li>Public Reporting of Patient Safety Indicators</li> <li>Hospitals must publicly report on: diagnoses of hospital- acquired infections; activities undertaken to reduce hospital- acquired infections; and mortality.</li> <li>Hospitals are required to disclose the results of each indicator through their website.</li> <li>For further information, see the HQO resource on Patient Safety Indicator Reporting</li> </ul>	Various dates, depending on the indicator.	Various dates. See <u>MOH</u> <u>guidance materials</u> for further information.			Hospital Management, <i>Reg 965</i> , s. 22.2
Public Sector Salary Disclosure Act, 1996         Web Link: Ministry of Finance, Ontario Public Sector Salary Disc	losure				
Reporting of salaries and benefits	April 15, 2004	Fifth business day of			Public Sector
<ul> <li>Requires hospitals and other public sector organizations that receive public funding from the Province of Ontario to disclose annually the names, positions, salaries and total taxable benefits of employees paid \$100,000 or more in a calendar year.</li> <li>Disclosure records must be submitted to the funding ministry by the fifth business day of March every year.</li> <li>Hospitals are also required to make the records available for inspection by the public.</li> </ul>		March each year. Hospitals and other public sector organizations may use the online submission tool. See T <u>reasury Board</u> <u>Secretariat guidance</u> <u>materials</u> for further information.			Salary Disclosure Act, 1996, section 3 and O. Reg 85/96

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991					
<ul> <li>Reporting in relation to a regulated professional's conduct:</li> <li>Reporting requirements (to the applicable regulatory college) apply where a hospital (as an institutional employer) terminates the employment of a regulated health professional, or revokes, suspends or imposes restrictions on practice privileges.</li> </ul>	August 1, 2016	Ensure that processes are in place for reporting to the Registrar of the applicable regulatory college, when			Regulated Health Professions Act 1991, Schedule 2, section 85.5
• Changes that came into effect on <b>August 1, 2016</b> require an institutional employer to report where a member has resigned or voluntarily relinquished his or her privileges as a result of professional misconduct.	May 30, 2017	appropriate.			section 85.5
<ul> <li>Changes that came into effect on May 30, 2017 introduced enhanced penalties for failing to report sexual abuse of patients. Hospitals may face fines of up to \$200,000 for failing to report sexual abuse by regulated health professionals.</li> </ul>					
Separate reporting requirements apply in respect of physicians and reporting to the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario (see section 33 of the <i>Public Hospitals Act</i> and section on the <i>Public</i> <i>Hospitals Act</i> above).					
Workplace Safety and Insurance Act, 1997			1	1	
<ul> <li>Notice to the WSIB of an accident</li> <li>Requires hospitals to notify the Workplace Safety and Insurance Board (WSIB) within three days after learning of an accident to a worker employed by the hospital. Employers must report a work-related accident to the WSIB if they learn that a worker requires health care and/or the worker</li> <li>Is absent from regular work;</li> <li>Earns less than regular pay for regular work (e.g., part-time hours);</li> <li>Requires modified work at less than regular pay; or</li> <li>Requires modified work at regular pay for more than seven calendar days following the date of accident.</li> </ul>	January 1, 1998	Ensure appropriate notification policies are in place.			Workplace Safety and Insurance Act, 1997, section 21

Requirement	Effective Dates	Submission Date	Compliance Y/N	Compliance Date	Source
• The notice must be in a form approved by the WSIB, and the hospital may be required to provided additional information to the WSIB from time to time in connection with the accident. A copy of the notice must also be given to the injured worker.					
• Hospitals are advised to review applicable WSIB policies and administrative practice document to ensure they understand their obligations to give notice of an accident.					
<ul> <li>Reporting responsibilities of Schedule 1 employers</li> <li>Public hospitals are considered Schedule 1 employers under Reg 175/98 under the WSIA.</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Hospitals are generally required to give the WSIB an annual statement setting out the total wages earned during the preceding year by all workers.</li> </ul>					
• The WSIB may request additional information from employers, such as the total estimated wages that workers are expected to earn during the year.					
• This statement is used by the WSIB to determine the amount of premiums that the hospital must pay.					
• More frequent reporting requirement may also apply depending on insurable earnings.					
• Hospitals are advised to review applicable WSIB policies and administrative practice documents to ensure they understand their obligations.					

200 Front Street West, Suite 2800 Toronto, Ontario M5V 3L1 www.oha.com

